



(Print)

**Section A**

(Online)



Estd. 1989

**JOURNAL OF ULTRASCIENTIST OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES**  
 An International Open Free Access Peer Reviewed Research Journal of Mathematics  
 website:- [www.ultrascientist.org](http://www.ultrascientist.org)

## **$(H, 1)(E, 1)$ Product Transform of Fourier Series and its Conjugate Series**

<sup>1</sup>KUSUM SHARMA and <sup>2</sup>SUMAN

Department of Mathematics, College of Arts, Science and Humanities,  
 Mody University of Science & Technology, Lakshmangarh-332311

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: [kusum31sharma@rediffmail.com](mailto:kusum31sharma@rediffmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding Author E-mail: [sumanjangir1995@gmail.com](mailto:sumanjangir1995@gmail.com)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22147/jusps-A/300502>

Acceptance Date 20th April, 2018,

Online Publication Date 2nd May, 2018

### **Abstract**

In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $(H, 1)(E, 1)$  product transform and obtained two quite new theorems on  $(H, 1)(E, 1)$  product transform of Fourier series and its conjugate series. Our result extends several known result on single summability methods.

*Key word:*  $(E, 1)$  Summability,  $(H, 1)$  Summability,  $(H, 1)(E, 1)$  Summability, Fourier series.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B05; 42B08.

### **1. Introduction**

A good amount of work have been done in the field of summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series using single and product summability methods by several researchers like Sahney<sup>5</sup>, Chandra<sup>1</sup>, Sinha and Shrivastava<sup>7</sup>, Chandra and Dikshit<sup>2</sup>, Nigam and Sharma<sup>3</sup>, Mursaleen and Alotaibi<sup>8</sup> and Singh<sup>6</sup> under different conditions. But nothing seems to have been done so far in the direction of  $(H, 1)(E, 1)$  product summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series. Therefore, in the present paper, two new theorems have been established on  $(H, 1)(E, 1)$  product summability of Fourier series and its conjugate Fourier series under general condition.

### **2. Definition and Notation :**

Let  $f(x)$  be a  $2\pi$ - periodic function and Lebesgue integrable over  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . The Fourier series of  $f(x)$

is given by

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \quad (2.1)$$

The conjugate series of Fourier series is given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(x) \quad (2.2)$$

We shall use the following notations:

$$\Phi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s$$

$$\Psi(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t)$$

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\widetilde{K}_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

And  $\tau = \left[ \frac{1}{t} \right]$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the greatest integer not greater than  $\frac{1}{t}$ .

Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  be a given infinite series with sequence of its  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of  $\{s_n\}$ . The  $(H, 1)$  transform is defined as the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of  $(H, 1)$  summability and is given by

$$H_n^1 = t_k(n) = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{s_{n-k}}{k+1} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.3)$$

then infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  is summable to the definite number  $s$  by  $(E, q)$  method.

If,

$$(E, 1) = E_k^1 = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} s_v \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.4)$$

then the infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  is said to be summable  $(E, 1)$  to the definite number  $s$ .

Now the  $(H, 1)$  transform of the  $(E, 1)$  summability defines  $(H, 1)$   $(E, 1)$  summability and we denote it by

$$H_n^1 E_k^1.$$

Thus if

$$H_n^1 E_k^1 = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} E_k^1 \quad (2.5)$$

If  $H_n^1 E_k^1 \rightarrow s$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  or the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is said to be summable to the sum  $s$  by

$$H_n^1 E_k^1.$$

### 3. Main Theorems :

We prove the following theorems:

## 3.1 Theorem :

Let  $\{P_n\}$  be a positive, monotonic, non-increasing sequence of real constants such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} P_v \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

If,

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = o \left[ \frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot P_t} \right], \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0 \quad (3.1.1)$$

Where,  $\alpha(t)$  is positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of  $t$  and

$$\log n = O[\{\alpha(n)\} \cdot P_n], \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.1.2)$$

then the Fourier series (2.1) is summable  $(H, 1)$   $(E, 1)$  to  $f(x)$ .

## 3.2 Theorem :

Let  $\{P_n\}$  be a positive, monotonic, non-increasing sequence of real constants such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} P_v \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t |\Psi(u)| du = o \left[ \frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot P_t} \right], \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0 \quad (3.2.1)$$

Where,  $\alpha(t)$  is positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of  $t$  then the conjugate Fourier series (2.2) is Summable  $(H, 1)$   $(E, 1)$  to

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(t) \cos \frac{t}{2} dt$$

at any point where this point exists.

## 4. Lemmas :

*Lemma 1.*  $|k_n(t)| = O(n)$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ;  $|\sin nt| \leq \sin nt$ ;  $|\cos nt| \leq 1$ .

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} |k_n(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{(2v+1) \sin \frac{t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} (2k+1) \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right] \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} (2k+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} (2n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{(2n+1)}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \\
&= O(n)
\end{aligned}$$

*Lemma 2.*  $|k_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ , for  $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \pi$ ;  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{2}$  and  $\sin nt \leq 1$ .

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned}
|k_n(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{1}{2} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \right) \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

*Lemma 3.*  $|\widetilde{k}_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ;  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{2}$  and  $|\cos nt| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{k}_n(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \left| \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.  $|\widetilde{k}_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ , for  $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \pi$ ;  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{2}$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\widetilde{k}_n(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{i\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \left| e^{\frac{it}{2}} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=r}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \tag{4.4.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now considering the first part of (4.4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right\} \right] \right| \left| e^{ivt} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left( \frac{1}{(k+1)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the second part of (4.4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \left[ \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \max_{0 \leq m \leq k} \left| \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi t \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)} \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

5. Proof of Main Theorems :

5.1 Proof of theorem 3.1

Following Titchmarsh<sup>4</sup> and using Riemann-Lebesgue theorem,  $s_n(f; x)$  of the series (2.1) is given by

$$s_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t\right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

Therefore using (1), the  $(E, 1)$ , transform  $E_n^q$  of  $s_n(f; x)$  is given by

$$E_n^1 - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi 2^k} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\sin\left(\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t\right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt$$

Now denoting  $(H, 1)$   $(E, 1)$  transform of  $s_n(f; x)$  by  $H_n^1 E_n^1$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^1 E_n^1 - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\sin\left(\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t\right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right] \\ &= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) k_n(t) dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.1}$$

We have to show that, under the hypothesis of theorem

$$\int_0^\pi \phi(t) k_n(t) dt = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

For  $0 < \delta < \pi$ , we have

$$\int_0^\pi \phi(t) k_n(t) dt = \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \phi(t) + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\delta \phi(t) + \int_\delta^\pi \phi(t) \right] k_n(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \text{ (say)} \quad (5.1.2)$$

We consider,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\phi(t)| |k_n(t)| dt \\ &= O(n) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \phi(t) k_n(t) dt \right] \text{ by Lemma 1} \\ &= O(n) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} o \left\{ \frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} \right] \text{ by (3.1.1)} \\ &= o \left\{ \frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} \\ &= o \left\{ \frac{1}{\log n} \right\} \text{ using (3.1.2)} \\ &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (5.1.3)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} |\phi(t)| |k_n(t)| dt \\ &= O(n) \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} |\phi(t)| \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) dt \right] \text{ by Lemma 1} \\ &= O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \phi(t) \right\}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t^2} \phi(t) dt \right] \\ &= O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left[ o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha \left( \frac{1}{t} \right). p_t} \right\}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} o \left( \frac{1}{t\alpha \left( \frac{1}{t} \right). p_t} \right) dt \right] \text{ by (3.1.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\frac{1}{t} = u$  in second term,

$$\begin{aligned} &= O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left[ o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} + \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n o \left( \frac{1}{u\alpha(u).p_u} \right) du \right] \\ &= o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} + o \left\{ \frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n 1. du \\ &= o \left\{ \frac{1}{\log n} \right\} + o \left\{ \frac{1}{\log n} \right\} \text{ by (3.1.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Using second mean value theorem for the integral in the second term as  $\alpha(n)$  is monotonic

$$= o(1) + o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.1.4)$$

By Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and by regularity condition of the method of Summability,

$$|I_3| \leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\Phi(t)| |k_n(t)| dt$$

$$= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.1.5)$$

Combining (5.1.3), (5.1.4) and (5.1.5), we have

$$H_n^1 E_n^1 - f(x) = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

### 5.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2.

Let  $\tilde{S}_n(f, x)$  denotes the partial sum of series (2.2).

Using Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem,  $\tilde{S}_n(f, x)$  of series (2.2) and the  $(E, 1)$  transform  $E_n^1$  of  $\tilde{S}_n(f, x)$  is given by

$$\tilde{E}_n^1 - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi 2^k} \int_0^{\pi} \Psi(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt$$

Now denoting  $(H, 1)$   $(E, 1)$  transform of  $\tilde{S}_n(f, x)$  by  $\overline{H_n^1 E_n^1}$ , we write

$$\overline{H_n^1 E_n^1} - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)2^k} \int_0^{\pi} \Psi(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} \frac{\cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right]$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi} \Psi(t) \tilde{k}_n(t) dt \quad (5.2.1)$$

In order to prove the Theorem, we have to show that, under the hypothesis of theorem

$$\int_0^{\pi} \Psi(t) \tilde{k}_n(t) dt = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

For  $0 < \delta < \pi$ , we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} \Psi(t) \tilde{k}_n(t) dt = \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \Psi(t) + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \Psi(t) + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \right] \tilde{k}_n(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \text{ (say)} \quad (5.2.2)$$

We consider,

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\Psi(t)| |\tilde{k}_n(t)| dt$$

$$= O \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{t} |\Psi(t)| dt \right] \text{ by Lemma 3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{t} |\Psi(t)| dt \right] \\
 &= O(n) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ o\left\{\frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} \right] \text{ by (3.2.1)} \\
 &= o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} \\
 &= o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} \text{ using (3.2.2)} \\
 &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.3}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} |\Psi(t)| |\widetilde{k}_n(t)| dt \\
 &= O\left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t_n} |\Psi(t)| dt \right] \text{ by Lemma 4} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} |\Psi(t)| dt \right] \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \Psi(t) \right\}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t^2} \Psi(t) dt \right] \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).p_t}\right\}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} o\left(\frac{1}{t\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).p_t}\right) dt \right] \text{ by}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

Putting  $\frac{1}{t} = u$  in second term,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n o\left(\frac{1}{u\alpha(u).p_u}\right) du \right] \\
 &= o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n 1 \cdot du \\
 &= o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} \text{ by (3.1.2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using second mean value theorem for the integral in the second term as  $\alpha(n)$  is monotonic

$$\begin{aligned} &= o(1) + o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ &= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.4)$$

By Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and by regularity condition of the method of summability,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |\widetilde{k}_n(t)| dt \\ &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.5)$$

Combining (5.2.3), (5.2.4) and (5.2.5), we have

$$\overline{H_n^1 E_n^1} - \tilde{f}(x) = o(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.2.

### Conclusion

In the field of Summability theory, various results pertaining  $(H, 1)$ ,  $(E, q)$  and  $(E, q)X$  and  $X(H, 1)$  Summability of Fourier series as well as its allied series have been reviewed. In future, the present work can be generalised under certain conditions.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestion to improve the quality of this paper. The authors also thank Dr S. S. Malik for his technical support.

### References

1. Chandra, p. "On the  $|E, q|$  Summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series" Riv, Mat, Univ. Parma, 65-78 (1977).
2. Chandra, P. and Dikshit, G.D., "On the  $|B|$  and  $|E, q|$  Summability of Fourier series, its conjugate series and their derived series", Indian J. pure applications math., Vol. 12(11), 1350-1360 (1981).
3. Nigam, H.K. and Sharma, Ajay, "on  $(N, p, q) (E, 1)$  Summability of Fourier series", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2009, 8 pages, (2009).
4. Titchmarsh, E.C., "The theory of Functions", Oxford University Press, 402-403, (1939).
5. Sahney, B. N., "On the  $(H, p)$  summability of Fourier Series", Bull. Uni. Mat. Italiana, Ser. III, Vol. 16, 151-163 (1961).
6. Singh, A. N., "Norlund Summability of Fourier series and its Conjugate Series", Bull. Call. Math. Soc., Vol. 82, 99-105 (1990).
7. Sinha, K., Santosh and Shrivastava, U.K., "The Almost  $(E, q) (N, P_n)$  Summability of Fourier Series" Vol. 2, 17-20 (2014).
8. Mursaleen, M and Alotaibi, A., "Generalized matrix summability of a conjugate derived Fourier series", Journal of inequalities and applications, Vol. 2017(1), 6 pages, (2017).