

vg-Lindeloff Space

S. BALASUBRAMANIAN

Department of Mathematics, Govt Arts Collgege (Autonomous), Karur – 639 005 (INDIA)

E. mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

(Acceptance Date 8th March, 2014)

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to introduce vg-lindeloff spaces and study its basic properties.

Key words: v-open sets, v-continuity, v-lindeloff space; vg-open sets, vg-closed sets, vg-continuity, vg-lindeloff space.

AMS Subject Classification numbers: 54D05, 54D20, 54D30, 54D45.

1. Introduction

In this paper vg-lindeloffness in topological space are introduced. Some of their basic properties are obtained and interrelations are verified with other types of lindeloffness.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1: A subset $A \subset X$ is said to be

- (i) regular open if $A = \text{int}(cl(A))$
- (ii) semi-open[v-open] if there exists an open[r-open]set s such that $U \subset A \subset cl(U)$.
- (iii) regular closed[semi-closed; v-closed] of its complement is regular open[semi-open v-open].
- (iv) g-closed [rg-closed] if $cl(A) \subset U [vcl(A) \subset U]$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open [r-open].
- (v) vg-closed if $vcl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$

and U is v-open

(vi) g-open[rg-open; vg-open] if its complement is g-closed[rg-closed; vg-closed].

Definition 2.2: Let $A \subset X$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be ω -accumulation [v-accumulation; rg-accumulation] point of A if every regular-open [v-open; rg-open] neighborhood of x intersects A and the union of A and the set of all ω -accumulation [v-accumulation; rg-accumulation] points of A is called ω -closed [v-closed; rg-closed] set⁴⁻¹⁰.

Definition 2.3: $A \subset X$ is said to be

- (i) Lindeloff[nearly-lindeloff; v-lindeloff] if every open[regular-open; v-open] cover of A has a countable subcover.
- (ii) Countably lindeloff[countably nearly-lindeloff; countably v-lindeloff] if every countable open[countable regular-open; countable v-open]

in A has a countable sub cover.

(iii) σ -lindeloff [σ -nearly-lindeloff; σ - v -lindeloff] if A is the countable union of lindeloff [nearly-lindeloff; v -lindeloff] spaces

(iv) Weak almost regular [Almost regular] iff for any point $a \in A$ and any regular-open set U containing a , there exist a regular-open [an open] set V such that $a \in V \subset \text{cl } V \subset U$.

3. Properties of vg -Lindeloff spaces:

Definition 3.1: $A \subset X$ is said to be

(i) vg -lindeloff if every vg -open cover of A has a countable subcover.

(ii) Countably vg -lindeloff if every countable vg -open cover in A has a countable sub cover.

(iii) σ - vg -lindeloff if A is the countable union of vg -lindeloff spaces¹¹⁻¹⁴

Example: Any closed and bounded subset of \mathcal{R} with usual topology is vg -lindeloff and \mathcal{R} with usual topology is not vg -lindeloff.

Definition 3.2: Let $S \subset X$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be vg -accumulation point of S if every vg -open neighborhood of x intersects S . The union of S and the set of all vg -accumulation points of S is called vg -closed set.

Remark 1: Every ω -closed set is vg -closed.

Theorem 3.1: Let A be r -open. $A \subset X$ is a vg -lindeloff subset of X iff the subspace (A, τ_A) is vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 3.2:

(i) vg -closed subset of a (countably) vg -lindeloff space is (countably) vg -lindeloff

(ii) vg -irresolute image of a (countably) vg -lindeloff space is (countably) vg -lindeloff

(iii) countable product and countable union of (countably) vg -lindeloff spaces is (countably) vg -lindeloff

Proof: Let $\{X_n\}$ be a countable family of vg -lindeloff spaces and let $X = \prod_n X_n$. Let $\{U_j = \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha_{ij}} X_\alpha \times U_{\alpha_{1j}} \times \dots \times U_{\alpha_{nj}}; U_{\alpha_{ij}}$ is vg -open in $X_{\alpha_{ij}}$ for each $i = 1$ to $n, j \in I\}$ be a vg -open cover of $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$. Then $\{\Pi_i(u_j); j \in I\}$ is a vg -open cover of X_i . By Assumption, there exists a countable subfamily $\{\Pi_i(u_j); j = 1$ to $n\}$ such that $X_i = \cup \Pi_i(U_j)$.

Case 1: If $\Pi_i X_i = \cup_{j=1}^n \Pi_i(U_j)$ then $\Pi_i X_i$ is vg -lindeloff.

Case 2: If not, there exists atmost countable l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n such that $X_{l_s} = \cup_{k=1}^n \Pi_{l_s}(U_{ks})$ for each $l_s \in \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$. Therefore $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha = \cup_{k=1}^n (U_{jk}) \cup \cup_{k=1}^n (U_{jk}) \cup \dots \cup \cup_{k=1}^n (U_{jk})$. Hence $\prod X_\alpha$ is vg -lindeloff.

Remark 2: (countably) vg -lindeloffness is a weakly hereditary property

Theorem 3.3:

(i) vg -continuous image of a (countably) vg -lindeloff space is (countably) lindeloff

(ii) vg -continuous image of a (countably) vg -lindeloff space is (countably) nearly-lindeloff

Definition 3.4: X is said to be locally vg -lindeloff space if every $x \in X$ has a vg -neighborhood whose closure is vg -lindeloff.

Note 1: v -compact space \Rightarrow vg -compact space locally vg -compact space \Leftarrow locally v -compact space

\Downarrow \Downarrow
 \Downarrow \Downarrow

$v\text{-lindeloff space} \Rightarrow vg\text{-lindeloff space}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{locally } vg\text{-lindeloff space} \Leftarrow \text{locally } v\text{-lindeloff space}$

Theorem 3.4: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is vg -irresolute, vg -open and X is locally vg -lindeloff, then so is Y .

Proof: For $y \in Y$, $\exists x \in X \ni f(x) = y$. Since X is locally vg -lindeloff x has a vg -lindeloff neighborhood V . By vg -irresolute, vg -open of f , $f(V)$ is a vg -lindeloff neighborhood of y . Hence Y is locally vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 3.1: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is vg -irresolute, vg -open and X is vg -lindeloff, then Y is locally vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 3.5: $A \subseteq X$ be r -open. Then A is locally vg -lindeloff subset of X iff the subspace (A, τ_A) is locally vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 3.6: (i) vg -closed subset of a locally vg -Lindeloff space is locally vg -Lindeloff.

(ii) countable product and countable union of locally vg -Lindeloff spaces is locally vg -Lindeloff.

Theorem 3.7: The following are equivalent:

(i) X is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) For every family of vg -closed sets in X with empty intersection, there is countable subfamily whose intersection is empty.

(iii) Every family of vg -closed sets with countable intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

Theorem 3.8: Alexandroff's Subbase theorem for vg -lindeloff spaces: $\prod X_i$ is vg -lindeloff if and only if every X_i is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: Assume $\prod_i X_i$ is vg -lindeloff and fix $j \in I$. Let $P_j: \prod_i X_i \rightarrow X_j$ be a projection and $\{V_j^i: i \in I\}$ be a vg -open cover of X_j . Then $\{\prod_{i \neq j} X_i \times V_j^i: i \in I\}$ is a vg -open cover of $\prod_i X_i$. Since $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ is vg -lindeloff, there exist a countable subfamily such that $\prod_i X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\prod_{i \neq j} X_i \times V_j^i)$. By projection $P_j: X_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_j^i$. Therefore X_j is vg -lindeloff.

Converse part follows from theorem 3.2(iii)

Theorem 3.9: If A is an arbitrary vg -lindeloff subset of X , then every infinite subset of A has a vg -accumulation point.

Proof: Let A be an infinite subset of a vg -lindeloff space X such that $D_{vg}(A) = \emptyset$ and so A is vg -closed in X . If B is any infinite subset of A , then for each $b \in B$ there exists a vg -open set V_b containing b such that V_b contains no point of A other than b . Now the family $\{V_b: b \in B\}$ forms a vg -open cover of B . By Theorem 3.2, B itself is vg -lindeloff, but any countable subfamily of $\{V_b: b \in B\}$ do not cover B , which is a contradiction. Therefore the infinite subset A of X has a vg -accumulation point.^{3.9}

Theorem 3.10: If S is an arbitrary vg -lindeloff subset of X , then every infinite subset of S has a ωg -accumulation point.

Proof: Consequence of theorem 3.9.

Theorem 3.11: Let X be a vg -lindeloff space, and let $\{S_i\}$ be a descending chain of ωg -closed subsets of X , then $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} S_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Choose a point $x_n \in S_n$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then x_n will have a ωg -accumulation point x_0 in X , since X is vg -lindeloff, X is rg -lindeloff and hence it is ωg -closed. On the other hand, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$; x_0 becomes a ωg -accumulation point of $\{x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots\}$ also, hence of S_k . Since each S_k is ωg -closed, we know that $x_0 \in S_k$ for each k , hence the intersection of all S_k is not empty

Theorem 3.12: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost continuous, X is v -lindeloff and $Y = f(X)$ then Y is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: Follows from Thm² 3.7, and Note 1.

Theorem 3.14: The vg -irresolute image of a vg -lindeloff space in any vg -Hausdorff space is vg -closed.

Corollary 3.2: The v -irresolute image of a v -lindeloff space in any v -Hausdorff space is vg -closed.

4. Relation between vg -lindeloff and lindeloff spaces:

Definition 4.0: An open base is said to be a regular open base if the elements of the base are regular open sets.

Definition 4.1: $A \subset X$ is said to be Almost vg -regular iff for any point $a \in A$ and any vg -open set U containing a , there exist a

vg -open set V such that $a \in V \subset cl\ V \subset U$.

Lemma 4.1: If X is vg -lindeloff and semiregular then X is lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{O_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of X . Since X is semiregular, there is a regular open base $\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow$ we have v -open cover $\{B_i : O_i = \bigcup_j B_j^i$ for each i , where $B_j^i \in \mathcal{B}\}$, which in turn a vg -open cover. By vg -lindeloffness of X , $X \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_{i_k}^j \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^n O_{i_k}$. Therefore X is lindeloff.

Lemma 4.2: If X is vg -compact and semiregular then X is lindeloff.

Theorem 4.1: (i) If $A \subset X$ is Almost vg -regular and X is v -lindeloff, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) If $A \subset X$ is Almost v -regular and lindeloff, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: (i) Let $\{U_i\}$ be any v -open cover of A and let $x \in A$ be any point. For $x \in A$ there exists a vg -open set U_x containing $x \Rightarrow$ by almost vg -regularity there exists a vg -open set V_x such that $x \in V_x \subset cl\ \{V_x\} \subset U$. For $\{V_x\}$ forms a vg -open cover and X is vg -lindeloff, $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$. Hence $cl\ \{A\} \subseteq cl\ \{(\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i})\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl\ \{V_{x_i}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$, which implies that $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) From theorem³ 4.1 and Note 1 $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 4.1: (i) If $A \subset X$ is Almost vg -regular and X is v -compact, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) If $A \subset X$ is Almost v -regular and compact, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 4.2: Every almost v -regular and almost lindeloff subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: By theorem³ 4.5 and Note 1, A is vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 4.3: Every weak almost regular and nearly lindeloff subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: Follows from theorem³ 4.7 and Note 1, A is vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 4.2: (i) Every almost v -regular and almost compact subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) Every weak almost regular and nearly compact subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

(iii) If $A \subset X$ is Almost regular and nearly lindeloff, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

(iv) If $A \subset X$ is weak almost regular and nearly lindeloff, then $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: (i) By theorem¹¹ 4.2 and Note 1, A is vg -lindeloff.

(ii) By theorem¹¹ 4.3 and Note 1, A is vg -lindeloff.

(iii) & (iv) By theorem³ 4.3 and Note 1 $cl(A)$ is vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 4.3: Every weak almost regular and vg -lindeloff subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 4.4: Every weak almost regular and vg -compact subset A of X is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: Follows from Corollary¹¹ 4.2 and Note 1.

Theorem 4.4: Let A be any dense almost vg -regular subset of X such that every vg -open covering of A is a vg -open covering of X . Then X is almost lindeloff if and only if X is vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 4.5: Each vg -lindeloff metrizable space is finite.

5. Relation between vg -lindeloff and weakly lindeloff spaces:

Theorem 5.1: If X is weakly lindeloff and almost regular, then X is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{V_i\}$ be any vg -open cover of X . For each $x \in X$, there exists $i_x \in I$ such that $x \in V_{i_x}$. Since X is almost regular, there exists a regular open set G_{i_x} such that $x \in G_{i_x} \subset cl\{G_{i_x}\} \subset V_{i_x}$ and G_{i_x} are open. Since X is weakly lindeloff, $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl\{G_{i_x}\}$. Thus $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{i_x}$. Hence X is vg -lindeloff.

Following two corollaries are immediate consequences of above theorem and the proofs are thus omitted.

Corollary 5.1: An almost regular space X is weakly lindeloff if and only if X is vg -lindeloff.

Corollary 5.2: A Hausdorff space X is almost regular and weakly lindeloff if and only if X is vg -lindeloff.

Theorem 5.2: If X is weakly compact and almost regular, then X is vg -lindeloff.

Proof: By theorem¹¹ 5.1 and Note 1, X is vg -lindeloff.

6. Covering properties of Weak and Strong continuous functions:

Theorem 6.1: Almost vg -continuous image of a (countably) vg -lindeloff space is nearly (countably) lindeloff.

Note 2: Every almost vg -lindeloff space is vg -lindeloff and hence locally vg -lindeloff

Theorem 6.2: If f is $c.vg.c.$ [resp: $c.r.c$] surjection and X is vg -lindeloff, then Y is closed lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ be any closed cover for Y . Since f is $c.vg.c.$, $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$ forms a vg -open cover for X with a countable subcover, since X is vg -lindeloff. For $Y = f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$, Y is closed lindeloff.

Theorem 6.3: If f is $c.vg.c.$, surjection. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If X is locally vg -lindeloff, then Y is locally closed lindeloff [locally nearly closed lindeloff; locally mildly lindeloff.]
- (ii) If X is vg -closed [countably vg -closed], then Y is nearly lindeloff [nearly countably lindeloff].
- (iii) If X is vg -lindeloff, then Y is nearly closed lindeloff; mildly closed lindeloff.⁷⁻¹⁴

Theorem 6.4: If f is $sl.vgc.$ surjection and X is vg -lindeloff, then Y is lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ be any clopen cover for Y . Since f is $sl.vgc.$, $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$ is a vg -open cover for X with countable subcover as X is vg -lindeloff. Since f is surjection, $Y = f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$. Therefore Y is lindeloff.

Corollary 6.1: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $sl.vgc.$ surjection and X is locally vg -compact, then Y is locally lindeloff.

Proof: Follows from theorem 6.4 and Note 1.

Theorem 6.5: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $sl.vgc.$, surjection and X is vg -lindeloff then Y is mildly lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{U_i; i \in I\}$ be clopen cover for Y . For each $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha_x \in I$ such that $f(x) \in U_{\alpha_x}$ and $V_x \in vGO(X, x)$ such that $f(V_x) \subset U_{\alpha_x}$. Since $\{V_i; i \in I\}$ is a cover of X by vg -open sets, there exists a countable subset I_0 of I such that $X \subset \bigcup \{V_x; x \in I_0\}$. Thus $Y \subset \bigcup \{f(V_x); x \in I_0\} \subset \bigcup \{U_{\alpha_x}; x \in I_0\}$. Hence Y is mildly lindeloff.

Corollary 6.2: If f is $sl.vg.c.$, surjection and X is locally vg -lindeloff then Y is locally mildly lindeloff.

Theorem 6.6: If f is $al.c.vg.c.$ [resp: $al.c.r.c$] surjection and X is vg -lindeloff, then Y is nearly closed lindeloff.

Proof: Let $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ be any regular-closed cover for Y . Since f is $al.c.vg.c.$, $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$ forms a vg -open cover for X and hence have a countable subcover, since X is vg -lindeloff. Since f is surjection, $Y = f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$. Therefore Y is nearly closed lindeloff.

Corollary 6.3: If f is $al.c.vg.c.$, surjection, then the following statements hold:

- (i) If X is locally vg -lindeloff, then Y is locally nearly closed lindeloff; [locally mildly lindeloff.]

(ii) If X is vg -closed[countably vg -closed], then Y is nearly lindeloff[nearly countably lindeloff].

Theorem 6.7: If f is al.c.vg.c., surjection and X is vg -lindeloff then Y is mildly closed lindeloff[mildly lindeloff].

Conclusion

In this paper author discussed vg -lindeloffness and studied its basic properties.

Acknowledgement

Author of the paper thank the referees for their suggestions and corrections for the development of the paper.

References

1. Balasubramanian. S., Sandhya. C. and Vyjayanthi. P.A.S., v -compact spaces, *Scientia Magna*, 5(2), 78 – 83 (2009).
2. Balasubramanian. S., Sandhya.C and Vyjayanthi. P.A.S., Note on regularity and v -compact spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 5(2), 78–83 (2010).
3. Balasubramanian. S., Vyjayanthi. P.A.S. and Sandhya. C, Note on regularity and v -lindeloff spaces, *Scientia Magna*, 6(2), 58–66 (2010).
4. Balasubramanian. S., vg -closed sets– *Bull. Kerala Math. Asso.*, 5(2) (2009)(81-92) [MR2640938](#)
5. Balasubramanian. S., vg -continuity– Proc. Inter. Seminar in New Trends in Math. and its App., Bharatha Matha College, Thrikkakara, Cochin, KERALA, Jan. 31-Feb. 2 (2011).
6. Balasubramanian. S., Slightly vg -Continuity– *Inter. J. Math. Archive*, 2(8), 1455–1463 (2011).
7. Balasubramanian. S., Almost vg -Continuity– *Scientia Magna*, 7(3), 1–11 (2011).
8. Balasubramanian. S., Contra vg -Continuity– *General Mathematical Notes*, 10(1), 1–18 (2012).
9. Balasubramanian. S., Almost Contra vg -Continuity– *I.J.M.E.S*, 1(8), 51–65 (2012).
10. Balasubramanian. S., Almost Slightly vg -continuity– *General Math. Notes*, 20(1), 77-92 (2014).
11. Balasubramanian. S., vg -compact spaces, *J. Tripura math. Soc.*, 15(2014) (In Press).
12. Norman Levine, G -closed sets in topological spaces, *Rend. Cive. Mat. Palermo*, 19 89-96 (1970).
13. Palaniappan.N., Studies on Regular-Generalized Closed Sets and Maps in Topological Spaces, Ph.D Thesis, *Alagappa University*, Karikudi, (1995).
14. Sandhya. C., A study on v -open sets in General Topology, Ph.D., Thesis, *Dravidian University*, (2011).