

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

**Reverse Derivations On Semiprime Rings**

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY<sup>1</sup> and K. HEMAVATHI<sup>2</sup>

Department of mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati – 517502 (INDIA)

cjsreddysvu@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, hemavathiphd@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>

Cell: 919441166259

(Acceptance Date 5th February, 2014)

**Abstract**

In this paper some results concerning to reverse derivations on semiprime rings are presented. If  $R$  be a semi prime ring with a reverse derivation  $d$  and  $S$  be the left ideal of  $R$  then  $[S, R]d(R)=0$ . Also if  $S$  be a right ideal of  $R$  then  $[R, S]d(S)=0$  is proved by using reverse derivation.

*Key words* : Center, Semi prime ring, derivation, reverse derivation.

Bresar and Vukman<sup>1</sup> have introduced the notion of a reverse derivation. The reverse derivations on semi prime rings have been studied by Samman and Alyamani<sup>2</sup>.

*Preliminaries :*

Through out,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$  defined as  $Z=\{z \in R/[z, R]=0\}$ . We write  $[x, y]$  for  $xy-yx$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is called prime if  $aRb=0$  implies  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ ; and it is called semi prime if  $aRa=0$  implies  $a=0$ . An additive mapping  $d$  from  $R$  into itself is called a derivation if  $d(xy)=d(x)y+xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and is called a reverse derivation if  $d(xy)=d(y)x+yd(x)$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

*Main Results :*

*Theorem 1:* Let  $R$  be a semi prime ring with a reverse derivation  $d$  and let  $S$  be a left ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $d(rx) \in Z \forall x \in S, r \in R$  where  $Z$  denotes the center of  $R$ . Then  $[S, R]d(R)=0$ .

*Proof:*

Given  $[d(rx), y]=0 \forall x \in S, y, r \in R$  (1)

i.e.,  $[d(x)r+xd(r), y]=0$

$\Rightarrow (d(x)r+xd(r))y-y(d(x)r+xd(r))=0$

$\Rightarrow d(x)ry+xd(r)y-yd(x)r-yxd(r)=0$

Adding and subtracting,  $d(x)yr, xyd(r)$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)ry + d(x)yr - d(x)yr + xd(r)y - yd(x)r - yxd(r) + xyd(r) - xyd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)ry - d(x)yr + xd(r)y - yxd(r) + xyd(r) - yxd(r) + d(x)yr - yd(x)r = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)(ry - yr) + x(d(r)y - yd(r)) + (xy - yx)d(r) + (d(x)y - yd(x))r = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)[r, y] + x[d(r), y] + [x, y]d(r) + [d(x), y]r = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x)[r, y] + x[d(r), y] + [x, y]d(r) = 0 \quad (\text{since by (1)})$$

Put  $y = r$  in the above equation, then we get,

$$\Rightarrow d(x)[r, r] + x[d(r), r] + [x, r]d(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x[d(r), r] + [x, r]d(r) = 0$$

By expanding this equation, we conclude that,

$$\Rightarrow x(d(r)r - rd(r)) + (xr - rx)d(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(r)r - xrd(r) + xrd(r) - rxd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(r) - rxd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(r)r = rxd(r) \quad (2)$$

We write  $xz$  instead of  $x$  in (2) and using this equality, we get,

$$\Rightarrow xzd(r)r = rxzd(r)$$

$$\Rightarrow xzd(r)r - rxzd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xzd(r)r - rxzd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xrzd(r) - rxzd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [xr - rx]zd(r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, r]zd(r) = 0, \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } z, r \in R \quad (3)$$

Put  $z = d(r)r[x, r]$  in (3), then we get,

$$\Rightarrow [x, r]d(r)r[x, r]d(r) = 0 \text{ which implies}$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, r]d(r)R[x, r]d(r) = 0 \quad \forall r \in R$$

Since  $R$  is semi prime, we have,

$$[x, r]d(r) = 0, \quad \forall x \in S, r \in R.$$

$$\therefore [S, R]d(R) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 2:** Let  $R$  be a semi prime ring with a reverse derivation  $d$  and let  $S$  be a right ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $d(xr) \in Z$ ,  $\forall x \in S, r \in R$  where  $Z$  denotes the center of  $R$ . Then  $[R, S]d(S) = 0$ .

*Proof :*

Given  $[d(xr), y] = 0, \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } y, r \in R$

$$\text{i.e., } [d(r)x + rd(x), y] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [d(r)x + rd(x)]y - y[d(r)x + rd(x)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)xy + rd(x)y - yd(r)x - yrd(x) = 0$$

Adding and subtracting  $ryd(x), d(r)yx$ , then we get,

$$\Rightarrow d(r)xy + rd(x)y - ryd(x) + ryd(x) - yd(r)x + d(r)yx - d(r)yx - yrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)xy + r[d(x)y - yd(x)] + [ry - yr]d(x) + [d(r)y - yd(r)]x - d(r)yx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [d(r)xy - d(r)yx] + r[d(x)y - yd(x)] + [ry - yr]d(x) + [d(r)y - yd(r)]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[xy - yx] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) + [d(r), y]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, y] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) + [d(r), y]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, y] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) = 0$$

Put  $y = x$  in the above equation, then we get,

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, x] + r[d(x), x] + [r, x]d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow r[d(x), x] + [r, x]d(x) = 0$$

By expanding this equation, we conclude that,

$$\Rightarrow r(d(x)x - xd(x)) + (rx - xr)d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x - rxd(x) + rxd(x) - xrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x - xrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x = xrd(x) \quad (4)$$

We write  $zr$  instead of  $r$  in (4) and using this equality, we get,

$$\Rightarrow zrd(x)x = xzrd(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow xzrd(x) = xzrd(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow xzrd(x) - xzrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [zx - xz]rd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [z, x]rd(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } z, r \in R$$

By interchanging  $r$  and  $z$  in the above equation,

we get,

$$\Rightarrow [r, x]zd(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } z, r \in R \quad (5)$$

Put  $z = [r, x]d(x)r[r, x]d(x)$  in (2), then we get,

$$\Rightarrow [r, x]d(x)r[r, x]d(x) = 0 \text{ which implies}$$

$$\Rightarrow [r, x]d(x)R[r, x]d(x) = 0, \quad \forall r \in R \text{ and } x \in S$$

By using the semi primeness of  $R$ ,

$$[r, x]d(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in S, r \in R$$

$$\therefore [R, S]d(S) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

## References

1. M. Bresar and J. Vukman, on some additive mappings in rings with involution, *Aequations math.*, 38, 178-185 (1989).
2. M. Samman, N. Alyamani, Derivations and reverse derivations in semiprime rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, No.2, 39, 1895-1902 (2007).