# Common Fixed Point Theorem for Weakly Compatible Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

GEETA MODI\*, MONA VERMA\*\* and M.S. RATHORE<sup>1</sup>

(Acceptance Date 26th November, 2013)

#### **Abstract**

We prove common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space. We extend results of Pathak, Khan and Tiwari to fuzzy metric space.

#### Introduction

In 1965 the concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh<sup>14</sup>. It was developed extensively by many authors and used in various fields. Especially, Deng<sup>3</sup>, Erceg<sup>4</sup>, and Kramosil and Michalek<sup>10</sup> have introduced the concepts of fuzzy metric spaces in different ways.

Recently, George and Veeramani<sup>7,8</sup> modified the concept of fuzzy metric spaces introduced by kramosil and Michalek<sup>10</sup> and defined the Hausdoff topology of fuzzy metric spaces. They showed also that every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

Grabiec<sup>6</sup> extended the well known fixed point theorem of Banach<sup>1</sup> and Edelstein<sup>5</sup> to fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek<sup>10</sup>.

Here we extend results of Pathak,

Khan and Tiwari<sup>12</sup> to fuzzy metric space.

Preliminaries:

Definition<sup>13</sup> 1: A binary operation \*: [0,1] [0,1] [0,1] is called a continuous t-norm if ([0,1], \*) is an Abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that a \* b c \* d whenever a c and b d for all a, b, c, d are in [0,1].

Examples of t-norm are a \* b = ab and  $a * b = min \{a,b\}$ .

Definition 2:  $^{10}$  The 3-tuple (X,M, \*) is called a fuzzy metric space (shortly FM-space) if X is an arbitrary set, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in  $X^2$  [0, ) satisfying the following conditions for all x, y, z in X and t, s > 0,

(FM-1) M(x, y, 0) = 0,

(FM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 if and only if x = y,

(FM-3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),

436 Geeta Modi, et al.

(FM-4) M(x, y, t) \* M(y, z, s) M(x, z, t+s), (FM-5) M(x, y, .):[0,1] [0,1] is left continuous.

In what follows, (X, M, \*) will denote a fuzzy metric space. Note that

M(x, y, t) can be thought as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t. We identify x = y with M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 and M(x, y, t) = 0 with x = 0

= 1 for all t > 0 and M(x, y, t) = 0 with and we can find some topological properties and examples of fuzzy metric spaces in (George and Veeramani<sup>7</sup>).

Example 1:<sup>7</sup> Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a \* b = ab or  $a * b = min \{a, b\}$  and for all x, y in X and t > 0,

$$M(x,y,t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x,y)}$$

Then (X, M, \*) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by the metric d the standard fuzzy metric.

For workers of this line we are giving Lemmas.

 $\label{eq:lemma 1:6} \textit{For all } x,y \quad X, \ M(x,y,.)$  is non-decreasing.

Definition 3:<sup>6</sup> Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space :

(1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be convergent to a point x X (denoted by  $\lim_n x_n = x$ ), if

 $\lim_{n} M(x_{n}, x, t) = 1,$ 

for all t > 0.

(2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X called a Cauchy sequence if

 $\lim_{n} M(X_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1,$ 

for all t > 0 and p > 0.

(3) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Remark 1: Since \* is continuous, it follows from (FM-4) that the limit of the sequence in FM-space is uniquely determined. Let (X,M,\*) be a fuzzy metric space with the following condition:

(FM-6)  $\lim_{t}$  M(x,y,t) = 1 for all x,y X.

 $\label{eq:lemma-2} \begin{tabular}{ll} \it Lemma 2: \begin{tabular}{ll} \it Lemma 2: \begin{tabular}{ll} \it If for all $x,y$ & $X,t>0$ \\ and for a number $k$ & (0,1), \\ \end{tabular}$ 

M(x,y,kt) M(x,y,t)

then x = y.

Lemma<sup>2,11</sup> 3: Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) with the condition (FM-6). If there exists a number k (0,1) such that

$$\begin{split} M(y_{n+2},y_{n+1},kt) &\quad M(y_{n+1},y_n,t)\\ \text{for all } t>0 \text{ and } n=1,\,2,\,\ldots\ldots\text{ then } \{y_n\} \text{ is a}\\ \text{Cauchy sequence in } X. \end{split}$$

Definition  $4:^9$  A pair of mappings S and T is called weakly compatible pair in fuzzy metric space if they commute at coincidence points; *i.e.*, if Tu = Su for some u = X, then TSu = STu.

It is easy to see that if S and T are compatible, then they are weakly compatible and the converse is not true in general.

Example 2: Let  $X = R_+$ . Define S and T by: Sx = x and Tx = 2x-1; Sx = Tx iff x = 1, As ST(1) = S(1) = 1, TS(1) = T(1) = 1 Therefore  $\{S,T\}$  are weakly compatible.

Let be the set of all continuous and increasing functions  $_i:[0,1]$  [0,1] in any coordinate and  $_i(t)>t$  for all t [0,1) and i=1,2,3,4,5.

### **Main Results**

We extend results of Pathak, Khan and Tiwari<sup>12</sup> to fuzzy metric spaces.

Theorem 1: Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space with t\*t t for all t [0,1]. Let A, B, S and T be mappings of X into itself such that (1.1) A(X) T(X) and B(X) S(X), (1.2) there exists a constant k (0,1) such that

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(Ax,\ By,\ kt) & & \min\{\ _1(M^{2p}(Sx,\ Ty,\ t)),\\ & _2(Mq(Sx,Ax,t).M^{q'}(Ty,By,t))\ ,\\ & _3(M^r(Sx,By,(2-\ )\,t).M^{r'}(Ty,Ax,t)),\\ & _4(M^s(Sx,Ax,t).M^{s'}(Ty,Ax,t)),\\ & _5(M^l(Sx,By,(2-\ )\,t).\ M^r(Ty,By,t))\}, \end{split}$$

for all x, y = X, 0, (0,2), t>0, = 1, = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 0 < p, q, q, r, r', s, s', l, l' = 1, such that <math>2p = q + q' = r + r' = s + s' = l + l'.

(1.3) If the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  are weakly compatible, then

A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Since A(X) = T(X), for arbitrary point  $x_0$  in X, there exists a point  $x_1 = X$  such that  $T(x_1) = Ax_0$ . Since B(X) = S(X), for this point  $x_1$  we can choose a point  $x_2 = X$  such that S(X) = X and so on. Continuing in this manner, we can define a

sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that (1.4)  $y_{2n} = T$   $x_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$  and  $y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , We need following Lemma for the proof of our mail Theorem.

Lemma 4: Let A,B, S and T be self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying the conditions (1.1) and (1.2). Then the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  denoted by (1.4) is a Cauchy sequence in X.

$$\begin{split} \textit{Proof.} \text{ For } t > 0, \text{ By putting } x &= x_{2n} \\ \text{and } y &= x_{2n+1} \text{ in } (1.2), &= 1-q, \text{ with } q \quad (0,1) \\ \text{we have} \\ M^{2p}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \text{kt}) &= M^{2p}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \text{kt}) \\ M^{2p}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \text{kt}) & \min \{ \ _{1}(M^{2p}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)), \\ \ _{2}(M^{q}(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t).M^{q'}(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t)), \\ \ _{3}(M^{r}(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, (2-) t).M^{r'}(Tx_{2n+1}, t)), \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} &Ax_{2n},\,t))\\ &_4(M^s(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n},\!t).M^{s'}(Tx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n},\,t)),\\ &_5(M^l(Sx_{2n},\,Bx_{2n+1},\,(2\text{--})t).\,\,M^{l'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,\\ &Bx_{2n+1},\,t))\}, \end{aligned}$ 

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t), & \min \{ & _{1}(M^{2p}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t)), \\ & _{2}(M^{q}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t).M^{q'}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t)), \\ & _{3}(M^{r}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1},(1+q)t).M^{r'}(y_{2n},y_{2n},t)), \\ & _{4}(M^{s}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t).M^{s'}(y_{2n},y_{2n},t)), \\ & _{5}(M^{l}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1},(1+q)t).M^{l'}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t)) \; \}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},kt) & & \min \ \{ \ _{1}(M^{2p}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t)), \\ & \ _{2}(M^{q}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t).M^{q'}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t)), \\ & \ _{3}(M^{r}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t)*M^{r}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},qt))1 \\ & \ _{4}(M^{s}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t)1), \\ & \ _{5}(M^{l}(y_{2n-1},y_{2n},t)*M^{l}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},qt). \\ & \ M^{l'}(y_{2n},y_{2n+1},t))\}, \end{split}$$

Since the t-norm \* is continuous and M(x, y, .)

438 Geeta Modi, et al.

$$(1.5) > \begin{matrix} M(y_{2n-1},\ y_{2n},\ t),\ if\ M(y_{2n-1},\ y_{2n},\ t) < \\ M(y_{2n},\ y_{2n+1},\ t) \\ M(y_{2n},\ y_{2n+1},\ t),\ if\ M(y_{2n-1},\ y_{2n},\ t) \\ M(y_{2n},\ y_{2n+1},\ t), \end{matrix}$$

as  $_{i}(t) > t$  for 0 < t < 1. Thus  $\{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), n = 0\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in [0,1] and therefore tends to a limit l=1. We assert that l=1. If not, l<1 which on letting n = in (1.5) one gets l=(l)>l a contradiction yielding thereby l=1. Therefore for every n = N, using analogous arguments one can show that  $\{M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), n=0\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers in [0,1] which tends to a limit l=1. Therefore for every n = N,  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)$  and

 $\lim_{n}$   $M(y_{n}, y_{n+1}, t) = 1.$ 

Now for any positive integer p

$$\begin{split} M(y_n,y_{n+p},t) & \ M(y_n,y_{n+1},t/p)^{*p\text{-times}}... ^*M(y_{n+p\text{-}1},\\ & y_{n+p},t/p). \end{split}$$

Since  $\lim_{n}$   $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) = 1$  for t > 0, it follows that

 $\lim_n \quad M(y_n,\,y_{n+p},\,t) \quad 1*\;1\;\ldots *\;1=1$  which shows that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now we prove our main result as follows: Since X is complete, it follows by Lemma 4, that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to a point z in X. On the other hand, the sub sequences  $\{Ax_{2n}\}$ ,  $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ ,  $\{Sx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  also converges to the point z.

Now suppose that the subsequence  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is contained in S(X) and has a limit in S(X) call it z. Let  $u S^{-1}(z)$ . Then Su = z. By (1.2) with = 1, we have

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(Au,\,y_{2n+1},\,kt) &= M^{2p}(Au,\,Bx_{2n+1},\,kt) \\ \min \, \{ \ _{1}(M^{2p}(Su,\,Tx_{2n+1},\,t)), \ _{2}(M^{q}(Su,\,Au,\,t),\,M^{q'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,Bx_{2n+1},\,t)) \,, \\ _{3}(M^{r}(Su,\,Bx_{2n+1},t).M^{r'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,Au,\,t)), \\ _{4}(M^{s}(Su,\,Au,\,t).\,M^{s'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,Au,\,t)), \\ _{5}(M^{l}(Su,\,Bx_{2n+1},\,t).\,M^{l'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,Bx_{2n+1},\,t)) \, \}, \end{split}$$

which implies that as n , we have

a contradiction. Therefore Au=z=Su, i. e. u is a coincidence point of A and S. Now suppose that the subsequence  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is

Now suppose that the subsequence  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is contained in T(X) and has a limit in T(X) call it z. Let  $v = T^{-1}(z)$ . Then Tv = z. Again by (1.2) with = 1, we have

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(y_{2n}, Bv, kt) &= M^{2p}(Ax_{2n}, Bv, kt) \\ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} _{1}(M^{2p}(Sx_{2n}, Tv, t)), \ _{2}(M^{q}(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, \\ t). \ M^{q'}(Tv, Bv, t)) \right., \\ _{3}(M^{r}(Sx_{2n}, Bv, t).M^{r'}(Tv, Ax_{2n}, t)), \\ _{4}(M^{s}(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t).M^{s'}(Tv, Ax_{2n}, t)), \\ _{5}(M^{l}(Sx_{2n}, Bv, t).M^{l'}(Tv, Bv, t)) \right.\}, \end{split}$$

which implies that as n , we have

```
M^{2p}(z, Bv, kt) = min \{ 1(M^{2p}(z, z, t)), 2(M^{q}(z, z, t)) \}
z, t).M^{q'}(z, Bv, t)),
          _{3}(M^{r}(z, Bv, t).M^{r'}(z, z, t)), _{4}(M^{s}(z, t))
           z, t).M^{s'}(z, z, t)),
          _{5}(M^{l}(z, Bv, t), M^{l'}(z, Bv, t)) \},
or
M^{2p}(z, Bv, kt) = \min \{ 1, 1, 2, M^{q'}(z, Bv, t) \}
 _3(M^r(z, Bv, t)),
          _{4}(1), _{5}(M^{l+1'}(z, Bv, t)) \},
M^{2p}(z, Bv, kt) = {}_{5}(M^{l+1}(z, Bv, t)) > M^{2p}(z, Bv, t)
a contradiction Therefore Bv = z. Since Tv
= z \text{ thus } Tv = Bv = z
i.e. v is a coincidence point of B and T.
Since the pair \{A,S\} is weakly compatible
therefore, A and S commute at their coincidence
point, i.e. if ASw = SAw or Az = Sz.
Similarly, since the pair {B,T} is weakly
compatible therefore, B and T commute at
```

their coincidence point, i.e. if BTw = TBw or Bz = Tz.

Now, we prove Az = z. By (1.2) with we have

$$\begin{split} M^{2p}(Az,\,y_{2n+1},\,kt) &= M^{2p}(Az,\,Bx_{2n+1},\,kt)\\ \min\{_{-1}(M^{2p}(Sz,\,Tx_{2n+1},\,t)),_{-2}(M^q(Sz,\,Az,\,t).\\ M^{q'}(Tx_{2n+1},\,Bx_{2n+1},\,t)), \end{split}$$

$$_{3}(M^{r}(Sz, Bx_{2n+1}, t).M^{r'}(Tx_{2n+1}, Az, t)),$$
 $_{4}(M^{s}(Sz, Az, t).M^{s'}(Tx_{2n+1}, Az, t)),$ 

 $_{5}(M^{l}(Sz,Bx_{2n+1},t).\ M^{l'}(Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1},t))\},$ which implies that as n , we have  $M^{2p}(Az, z, kt) \min \{ 1(M^{2p}(Az, z, t)), 2(1),$ 

 $_{3}(M^{r}(Az, z, t).M^{r'}(z, Az, t)),$  $_{4}(M^{s'}(z, Az, t)), _{5}(M^{l}(Az, z, t)) \},$ 

 $M^{2p}(Az, z, kt)$  $_{1}(M^{2p}(Az, z, t)) > M^{2p}(Az, z, t)$ 

a contradiction. Therefore Az = z. Thus Az

```
= Sz = z.
Now, we prove Bz = z. By (1.2) with
we have
M^{2p}(Ax_{2n}, Bz, kt) \min\{ 1(M^{2p}(Sx_{2n}, Tz, t)), \}
 _{2}(M^{q}(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t).M^{q'}(Tz, Bz, t)),
   _{3}(M^{r}(Sx_{2n}, Bz, t).M^{r'}(Tz, Ax_{2n}, t)), _{4}(M^{s}(Sx_{2n}, t))
           Ax_{2n}, t).M^{s'}(Tz, Ax_{2n}, t)),
   _{5}(M^{l}(Sx_{2n}, Bz, t), M^{l'}(Tz, Bz, t)) \},
which implies that as n , we have
M^{2p}(Ax_{2n}, Bz, kt) \min \{ (M^{2p}(z, Bz, t)), (1), \}
 _{3}(M^{r+r'}(z, Bz, t)),
            _{4}(M^{s'}(Bz, z, t)), _{5}(M^{l}(z, Bz, t)) \},
```

or

$$M^{2p}(z,Bz,kt) \quad \ _{1}(M^{2p}(z,Bz,t) \!\!>\!\! M^{2p}(z,Bz,t).$$

a contradiction. Therefore Bz = z. Since Tz= z thus Tz = Bz = z.

Combining the above results, we have

Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z, z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

For the uniqueness of common fixed point let w) be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then by (1.2) with have

$$M^{2p}(z, w, kt) = M^{2p}(Az, Bw, kt)$$
  
 $min \{ {}_{1}(M^{2p}(Sz, Tw, t)), {}_{2}(M^{q}(Sz, Az, t).$   
 $M^{q'}(Tw, Bw, t)),$   
 ${}_{3}(M^{r}(Sz, Bw, t).M^{r'}(Tw, Az, t)), {}_{4}(M^{s}(Sz, Az, t).M^{s'}(Tw, Az, t)),$   
 ${}_{5}(M^{l}(Sz, Bw, t).M^{l'}(Tw, Bw, t))\},$   
or  
 $M^{2p}(z, w, kt) = min \{ {}_{1}(M^{2p}(z, w, t)), {}_{2}(M^{q}(z, w, t)), {}_{3}(M^{q}(z, w, t)), {}_{4}(M^{q}(z, w, t))$ 

 $M^{2p}(z, w, kt) = min \{ 1(M^{2p}(z, w, t)), 2(M^{q}(z, w, t)) \}$ z, t).  $M^{q'}(w, w, t)$ ,

$$_{3}(M^{r}(z, w, t).M^{r'}(w, z, t)),_{4}(M^{s}(z, z, t).$$
 $M^{s'}(w, z, t)),$ 

$$_{5}(M^{l}(z, w, t). M^{l'}(w, w, t)) \},$$

 $M^{2p}(z,\,w,\,kt) \qquad {}_1(M^{2p}(z,\,w,\,t)) > M^{2p}(z,\,w,\,t) \; . \label{eq:mass}$ 

a contradiction. Therefore z = w. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

## References

- 1. Banach, S., Surles operations danseles ensembles abstracts at leur applications aux equations integrals. *Fund. Math.*, *3*, 133-181 (1922).
- 2. Cho, Y.J., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, *5*(*4*), 949-962 (1997).
- 3. Deng, Z. K., Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, *86*, 74-95 (1982).
- 4. Erceg, M. A., Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, *69*, 205-230 (1979).
- Edelstein, M., On fixed point and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc., 37, 74-79 (1962).
- 6. Grabiec, M., Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27, 385-389 (1988).
- 7. George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some

- results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets* and *Systems*, 64, 395-399 (1994).
- 8. George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, *90*, 365-368 (1997).
- 9. Jungck G., and Rhoades, B.E., Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Maths.*, 29(3), 227-238 (1998).
- 10. Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, *11*, 336-344 (1975).
- 11. Mishra, S.N., Sharma, N. and Singh, S.L., Common fixed points of maps in fuzzy metric spaces. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 17, 253-258 (1994).
- 12. Pathak, H.K., Khan, M.S. and Tiwari, R., A common fixed point theorem and its application to non linear integral equation, *Comp. Math. Appl.* 53, 961-971 (2007).
- 13. Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific. J. Math.*, *10*, 313-334 (1960).
- 14. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy Sets, Inform Contr., 8, 338-353 (1965).