

A new type of Generalized Fixed Point Theorem in a Complete Metric Space

MANOJ GARG

P.G. Department of Mathematics, Nehru (P.G.) College, Chhibramau,
Kannauj, U.P. (India)

E-mail : garg_manoj1972@yahoo.co.in

(Acceptance Date 30th September, 2015)

Abstract

In the present paper, we prove a fixed point theorem in the form of rational expression. Our result generalizes and extends some well known previous results.

Key words: Fixed point, complete metric space, fixed point theorem.

Introduction

In 1922, the Polish mathematician Stefan Banach proved a theorem which ensures, under appropriate conditions, the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point. His result is called Banach's fixed point theorem. This result provides a technique for solving variety of applied problems in mathematical science and engineering. Many authors like Kannan², Reich³, Chatterjee⁴, Fisher⁵ and Yadav⁶ have extended, generalized and improved Banach fixed point theorem in different ways.

The aim of this paper is to obtain a fixed point theorem in the form of rational expression. We extended the work of and then show that the results of Banach, Kannan, Reich, Chatterjee and Fisher are special cases of our theorem.

Throughout this paper the complete metric space (X, d) is denoted by X .

Theorem: Let f be a continuous self mapping defined on a complete metric space X with

$$d(fx, fy) \leq k_1 \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy) + d(x, fy)d(y, fx)}{d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)} +$$

$$k_2 \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fx) + d(y, fy)d(x, fy)}{d(x, fx) + d(y, fx) + d(y, fy) + d(x, fy)} + k_3 \frac{d(x, fx)d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)d(y, fy)}{d(x, fx) + d(x, fy) + d(y, fx) + d(y, fy)} +$$

$$k_4 [d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)] + k_5 [d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)] + k_6 [d(x, fy) + d(y, fy)] + k_7 d(x, y).$$

$\forall x, y \in X, x \neq y$ and for $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6, k_7 \in [0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 4k_4 + 4k_5 + 2k_6 + 2k_7 < 2$, then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $f_{x_0}^n = x_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Thus we set $fx_n = x_{n+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \leq k_1 \frac{d(x_n, f_{x_n})d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}})d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n})}{d(x_n, f_{x_n}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n})} \\ &+ k_2 \frac{d(x_n, f_{x_n})d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}})d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}})}{d(x_n, f_{x_n}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}})} \\ &+ k_3 \frac{d(x_n, f_{x_n})d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n})d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}})}{d(x_n, f_{x_n}) + d(x_n, f_{x_{n-1}}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_n}) + d(x_{n-1}, f_{x_{n-1}})} + k_4 [d(x_n, fx_n) + d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})] \\ &+ k_5 [d(x_n, fx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, fx_n)] + k_6 [d(x_n, fx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})] + k_7 d(x_n, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Or } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq k_1 \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} \\ &+ k_2 \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_n)} \\ &+ k_3 \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} + k_4 [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \\ &k_5 [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] + k_6 [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + k_7 d(x_n, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq k_1 \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} + k_2 \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} \\ &+ k_3 \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} + k_4 [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + k_5 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + k_6 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &+ k_7 d(x_n, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{k_1}{2} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{k_2}{2} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{k_3}{2} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + k_4 [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) +$$

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + k_5 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + k_6 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k_7 d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \left[1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2} + \frac{k_3}{2} + k_4 + k_5 \right) \right] \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) [k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_7].$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \frac{k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_7}{1 - \frac{k_1}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} - \frac{k_3}{2} - k_4 - k_5} d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Continuing this process we get } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq \left(\frac{k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_7}{1 - \frac{k_1}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} - \frac{k_3}{2} - k_4 - k_5} \right)^n d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq k^n d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } k = \frac{k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_7}{1 - \frac{k_1}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} - \frac{k_3}{2} - k_4 - k_5} < 1, \text{ Since } k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 4k_4 + 4k_5 + 2k_6 + 2k_7 < 2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now for } m > n, d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m). \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1}) d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } d(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exist a point $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Again continuity of f gives $f(x) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x$.

Thus $f(x) = x$ i. e. x is a fixed point of f in X .

Now we show that x is unique. For suppose y be other fixed point such that $f(y) = y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } d(x, y) = d(fx, fy) &\leq k_1 \frac{d(x, f_y)d(y, f_y) + d(x, fy)d(y, f_x)}{d(x, f_x) + d(y, f_y) + d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_x)} + \\ &k_2 \frac{d(x, f_y)d(y, f_x) + d(y, f_y)d(x, f_y)}{d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_x) + d(y, f_y) + d(x, f_y)} + k_3 \frac{d(x, f_x)d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_x)d(y, f_y)}{d(x, f_x) + d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_x) + d(y, f_y)} \\ &+ k_4 [d(x, f_x) + d(y, f_y)] + k_5 [d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_x)] + k_6 [d(x, f_y) + d(y, f_y)] + k_7 d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k_1 \frac{d(x,x)d(y,y) + d(x,y)d(y,x)}{d(x,x) + d(y,y) + d(x,y) + d(y,x)} + k_2 \frac{d(x,x)d(y,x) + d(y,y)d(x,y)}{d(x,x) + d(y,x) + d(y,y) + d(x,y)} \\ &+ k_3 \frac{d(x,x)d(x,y) + d(y,x)d(y,y)}{d(x,x) + d(x,y) + d(y,x) + d(y,y)} + k_4 [d(x,x) + d(y,y)] + k_5 [d(x,y) + d(y,x)] \\ &+ k_6 [d(x,y) + d(y,y)] + k_7 d(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Or } d(x,y) \leq \frac{k_1}{2} d(x,y) + 2k_5 d(x,y) + k_6 d(x,y) + k_7 d(x,y).$$

$$\text{so } d(x,y) \leq \left[\frac{k_1}{2} + 2k_5 + k_6 + k_7 \right] d(x,y).$$

Which is a contradiction, because $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 4k_4 + 4k_5 + 2k_6 + 2k_7 < 2$.

Thus $d(x,y) = 0$ i. e. $x = y$. Hence x is the unique fixed point of f .

Remark:

- (i) If $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = k_6 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Banach¹.
- (ii) If $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_5 = k_6 = k_7 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Kannan².
- (iii) If $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_6 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Reich³.
- (iv) If $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_5 = k_6 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Chatterjee⁴.
- (v) If $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_6 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Fisher⁵.
- (vi) If $k_6 = 0$ then the theorem reduce to Yadav⁶.

References

1. Banach, S., Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, *Fund. Math.* 3, (1922), 133-181 (French).
2. Kannan, R., Some results on fixed points II, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 76, 405-409 (1969).
3. Reich, S., Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, *Canada Math. Bull.*, 14, 121-124 (1971).
4. Chatterjee S. K., Fixed point theorems, *Comptes. Rend. Accad. Bulgare.Sa*, 25, 727-730 (1972).
5. Fisher, B., A fixed point theorem for compact metric space, *Publ. Inst. Math.* 25, 193-194 (1976).
6. Yadav, R. N., Rajput, S. S., Bhardwaj, R., Some fixed point theorems for extension of Banach contraction principle, *Acta Cien. Ind.*, 2, 461-466 (2007).