

Weakly compatible maps of a complete metric space

ANIL RAJPUT^{*}, PRADEEP KUMAR DWIVEDI^{**}, RAMESH PRASAD AHARWAL^{***}
and ARCHNA JAIN^{****}

^{**}CSA, Govt. P.G. College, Sehore (INDIA)
(email: dranilrajput@hotmail.com)

^{***}Millennium Group of Institution, Bhopal (INDIA)
(pkdwivedi76@gmail.com) Mobial 9993960790

^{***}Govt. P.G. College Bareli (M.P.) (INDIA)
(ramesh_ahirwal_neetu@yahoo.com)

^{****}Govt. P. G. College BHEL, Bhopal (INDIA)

(Acceptance Date 7th February, 2012)

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem, from the class of compatible continuous maps to a larger class of maps having weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity, which generalizes the results of Jungck³, Fisher¹, Kang and Kim⁸, Jachymski², and Rhoades⁹.

Introduction

In 1976, Jungck⁴ proved a common fixed point theorem for commuting maps generalizing the Banach's fixed point theorem, which states that, let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If T satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$$

for each $x, y \in X$ where $0 \leq k < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X . On the other hand Sessa¹⁰, defined weak commutatively and proved common fixed point theorem for weakly commuting maps. Further Jungck⁵, introduced

more generalized commutatively, the so-called compatibility, which is more general than that of weak commutatively³.

It has been known from the paper of Kannan⁷ that there exists maps that have a discontinuity in the domain but which have fixed points, moreover, the maps involved in every case were continuous at the fixed point. In 1998, Jungck and Rhoades⁶, introduced the notion of weakly compatible and showed that compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse need not be true, In this paper, we prove a fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity, which generalizes the result

of Fisher¹, Jachymski², Kang and Kim⁸ and Rhoades et al.⁹.

Fixed Point Theorem :

Let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the set of non-negative real numbers and F is a family of all mappings $\psi: (\mathbb{R}^+)^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that ψ is upper semi continuous, non decreasing in each coordinate variable and for any $t > 0$,

$$\psi(t) = \varphi(t, t, 0, 2t, \frac{1}{2}t, t) < t$$

Let P, Q, S and T be mappings from a metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions.

$$P(X) \subset T(X) \text{ and } Q(X) \subset S(X) \quad (1)$$

$$d(Px, Qy) \leq \varphi \{d(Sx, Ty), d(Px, Sx), d(Qy, Ty), d(Px, Ty), d(Sx, Qy)\},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(Px, Ty) + d(Sx, Qy)]$$

$$\text{for all } x, y \in X, \text{ where } \varphi \in F \quad (2)$$

Theorem: Let (P, S) and (Q, T) be weakly compatible pairs of self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying (1) and (2). Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , Since X is complete there exists a point z in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{2n+1} = z \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{2n+2} = z$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{2n+2} = z.$$

Since $Q(X) \subset S(X)$ there exists a point $u \in X$.

Such that $z = Su$. Then using (2),

$$\begin{aligned} d(Pu, z) &= d(Pu, Q_{2n+1}x) \\ &\leq \varphi \{d(Su, T_{2n+1}x), d(Pu, Su), d(Q_{2n+1}x, T_{2n+1}x), d(Pu, T_{2n+1}x)\} \\ &= d(Su, Q_{2n+1}x), \frac{1}{2} [d(Pu, T_{2n+1}x) \\ &\quad + d(Su, Q_{2n+1}x)] \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(Pu, z) \leq \varphi \{d(z, z), d(Pu, z), d(z, z), d(Pu, z), d(z, z)\},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(Pu, z) + d(z, z)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi \{0, d(Pu, z), 0, d(Pu, z), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Pu, z) \\ &\quad + d(z, z)\} < d(Pu, z) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $z = Pu = Su$

Since $P(X) \subset T(X)$ there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $z = Tv$. Then again using (2),

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Qv) &= d(Pu, Qv) \\ &\leq \varphi \{d(Su, Tv), d(Pu, Su), d(Qv, Tv), d(Pu, Tv), d(Su, Qv)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(Pu, Tv) + d(Su, Qv)]$$

$$= \varphi \{d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Qv, z), d(z, z), d(z, Qv)\},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(z, z) + d(z, Qv)]$$

$$= \varphi \{0, 0, d(Qv, z), 0, d(z, Qv), \frac{1}{2} d(z, Qv)\}$$

$$< d(z, Qv)$$

Therefore $z = Qv = Tv$ Thus $Pu = Su = Qv =$

$Tv = z$. Since pair of maps P and S are weakly compatible, then $PSu = SPu$ i.e. $Pz = Sz$. Now we show that z is a fixed point of P . If $Pz \neq z$, then by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} d(Pz, z) &= d(Pz, Qv) \\ &\leq \varphi \{d(Sz, Tv), d(Pz, Sz), d(Qv, Tv), \\ &\quad d(Pz, Tv), d(Sz, Qv), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(Pz, Tv) + d(Sz, Qv)]\} \\ &= \varphi \{d(Pz, z), d(Pz, Pz), d(z, z), d(Pz, z) \\ &\quad d(Pz, z), \frac{1}{2} [d(Pz, z) + d(Pz, z)]\} \\ &= \varphi \{d(Pz, z), 0, 0, d(Pz, z), d(Pz, z), d(Pz, z)\} \\ &\quad < d(Pz, z) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Pz = z$ Hence $Pz = Sz = z$

Similarly, Pair of maps Q and T are weakly compatible, we have $Qz = Tz = z$, then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Qz) &= d(Pz, Qz) \\ &\leq \varphi \{d(Sz, Tz), d(Pz, Sz), d(Qz, Tz), \\ &\quad d(Pz, Tz), d(Sz, Qz), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(Pz, Tz) + d(Sz, Qz)]\} \\ &= \varphi \{d(z, Qz), d(z, z), d(Qz, Qz), d(z, Qz), \\ &\quad d(z, Qz), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(z, Qz) + d(z, Qz)]\} \\ &= \varphi \{d(z, Qz), 0, 0, d(z, Qz), d(z, Qz), \\ &\quad d(z, Qz)\} \\ &\quad < d(z, Qz) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Qz = z$. Hence $Qz = Tz = z$.

Thus $z = Pz = Qz = Sz = Tz$ and z is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Finally in order to prove the uniqueness of z

suppose that z and $w, z \neq w$ are common fixed point of P, Q, S and T . Then by (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, w) &= d(Pz, Qw) \\ &\leq \varphi \{d(Sz, Tw), d(Pz, Sz), d(Qw, Tw), d(Pz, Tw), \\ &\quad d(Sz, Qw), \frac{1}{2} [d(Pz, Tw) + d(Sz, Qw)]\} \\ d(z, w) &\leq \varphi \{d(z, w), d(z, z), d(w, w), d(z, w), \\ &\quad d(z, w), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(z, w) + d(z, w)]\} \\ &= \varphi \{d(z, w), 0, 0, d(z, w), d(z, w), d(z, w), \\ &\quad d(z, w)\} \\ &\quad < d(z, w) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $z = w$.

Hence z is a unique common fixed point of the mappings P, Q, S and T .

The following corollaries follow immediately from our theorem.

Corollary 1 :

Let (P, S) and (Q, T) be weakly compatible pairs of self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying (3.1), (3.3) and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} d(Px, Qy) &\leq h M(x, y), \quad 0 \leq h < 1, \quad x, y \in X, \\ &\text{where} \\ M(x, y) &= \max \{d(Sx, Ty), d(Px, Sx), \\ &\quad d(Qy, Ty), \frac{1}{2} d(P, Ty), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} d(S, Qy), d(P, Ty)\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then $P, Q, S,$ and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof : We consider the function $\varphi : [0, \infty]^6 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$

defined by

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = h \max \left\{ x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{1}{2} x_4, \frac{1}{2}, x_5, x_6 \right\}$$

Since $\varphi \in F$, we can apply in our theorem and deduce the corollary.

Corollary 2 :

Let (P, S) and (Q, T) be weakly compatible pairs of self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying (1), (3) and (3)

$$d(Px, Qy) \leq h \max \left\{ d(Px, Sx), d(Qy, Ty), \frac{1}{2} d(Px, Ty) + \frac{1}{2} d(Sx, Qy), d(Sx, Ty) \right\} \quad (3.11)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq h < 1$

Proof : We take same process as above Theorem.

References

1. Fisher B., Common fixed points of four

mappings, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sci. II*, 103-113 (1983).

2. Jachymski J., Common fixed point theorems for some families of maps, *J. Pure Appl. Math. 25*, 925-937 (1994).
3. Jungck G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. II*, 285-288 (1988).
4. Jungck G., Commuting maps and fixed points, *Am. Math. Mon.* 83, 261 (1976).
5. Jungck G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 9, 771-779 (1986).
6. Jungck G. and Rhoades B. E., Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 29(3), 227-238 (1998).
7. Kannan R., Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 60, 71-76 (1968).
8. Kang S. M. and Kim Y. P., Common fixed points theorems. *Math. Japonica* 37(6), 1031-1039 (1992).
9. Rhoades B.E., Park S. and Moon K. B., On generalizations of the Meir-Keeler type contraction maps'; *Math. Anal. Appl.* 146, 482 (1990).
10. Sessa S., On a weak commutatively condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Pub. Inst. Math.* 32(46), 149-153 (1982).