

Remarks on rg-closure, gpr-closure operators and gpr-separation axioms

P. GNANACHANDRA¹ and P. THANGAVELU²

¹Department of Mathematics, Aditanar College, Tiruchendur – 628216 (INDIA)

²Department of Mathematics, Karunya University, Coimbatore-641 114 (INDIA)

email: pgchandra07@rediffmail.com, email: thangavelu@karunya.edu, ptvelu12@gmail.com

(Acceptance Date 9th February, 2012)

Abstract

Recently Jin Han Park^{8,9} *et.al.* [**Further results on rg-continuity, Far East J. Math.Soc.2000 special volume part 11, 237-244 and On preserving rg-closed sets, East Asian Math.J.16(1) (2000),125-133**] studied rg-continuity and some preservation theorems using rg-closure operator and Gnanambal *et.al.* [**On gpr-continuous functions in topological spaces, Indian J.Pure.appl.Math.,30(6)(1999), 581-593**] discussed gpr-continuous functions using gpr-closure operator. Quite Recently Balasubramanian⁴ *et.al.* [**gpr-separation axioms-I, IJMA, 2(10) (2011), 2055-2067**] discussed several types of gpr-separation axioms. The purpose of this paper is to investigate some properties of rg-closure operator, gpr-closure operator and gpr-separation axioms. The most important result that is proved in this paper is “In a topological space every singleton set is rg-open” that leads to the investigation of some of the results due to Jin Han Park *et. al.* Gnanambal *et. al.* and separation axioms due to Balasubramanian *et. al.*

Keywords and Phrases: rg-open, rg-closed, gpr-open, gpr-closed etc.,

MSC 2010: 54C10, 54D10.

1. Introduction

In 1993, Palaniappan and Chandrasekhara Rao¹³ defined the concept of regular-generalized closed sets. Arockiarani and Balachandran² introduced the notion of rg-closure operator.

Recently Jin Han Park *et.al.*^{8,9} studied rg-continuity and some preservation theorems using rg-closure operator and Gnanambal *et.al.*^{6,7} discussed gpr-continuous functions using gpr-closure operator. Quite Recently Balasubramanian *et.al.*^{3,4} discussed several

types of gpr-separation axioms. The purpose of this paper is to investigate some properties of rg-closure operator, gpr-closure operator and gpr-separation axioms. The most important result that is proved in this paper is “In a topological space every singleton set is rg-open” that leads to the investigation of some of the results due to Jin Han Park *et. al.*^{8,9}, Gnanambal *et. al.*^{6,7} and separation axioms due to Balasubramanian *et. al.*^{3,4}.

2. Preliminaries :

Throughout this paper (X, τ) denotes a topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of X , $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$ respectively denote the closure of A and the interior of A in (X, τ) . $X \setminus A$ denotes the complement of A in X and $\wp(X)$ denotes the power set of X . We recall the following definitions and results that will be useful. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is regular open if $A = int(cl(A))$ ¹⁴, regular closed if $A = cl(int(A))$, pre-open if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$ ¹² and pre-closed if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$. Again a subset B of a topological space (X, τ) is called generalized closed¹⁰ (briefly g-closed) if $cl(B) \subseteq U$ whenever $B \subseteq U$ and U is open in X and regular generalized closed (briefly rg-closed)¹³ if $cl(B) \subseteq U$ whenever $B \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in X . The pre-closure of a subset A of X is the intersection of all pre-closed sets containing A and is denoted by $pcl(A)$. The intersection of all g-closed (resp. rg-closed) sets containing B is called the g-closure (resp. rg-closure) of B and denoted² by $c^*(B)$ ¹¹ (resp. $cl_r^*(B)$). The complement of a rg-closed set is rg-open.

Lemma 2.1: A subset A of X is rg-open if and only if $F \subseteq int(A)$ whenever $F \subseteq A$, F is regular closed.¹³

Definition 2.2: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $B \subseteq X$. Then B is called

- (i) generalized pre-closed (briefly gp-closed)¹¹ if $pcl(B) \subseteq U$ whenever $B \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .
- (ii) generalized pre-regular closed (briefly gpr-closed)⁶ if $pcl(B) \subseteq U$ whenever $B \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in X .

The complement of a gp-closed set is gp-open and that of a gpr-closed set is gpr-open. The intersection of all gp-closed (resp. gpr-closed) sets containing B is called the gp-closure (resp. gpr-closure) of B and denoted by $gpcl^*(B)$ ¹¹ (resp. $gpr-cl(B)$ ⁷). In this paper, $PO(X, \tau)$ (resp. $RGO(X, \tau)$, resp. $RGC(X, \tau)$, resp. $GPRO(X, \tau)$, resp. $GPRC(X, \tau)$) denotes the class of all pre-open (resp. rg-open, resp. rg-closed, resp. gpr-open, resp. gpr-closed) sets in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.3: For a subset A of X , $gpr-int(A)$ ⁷ is defined as the union of all gpr-open sets contained in A .

Definition 2.4: A space (X, τ) is called $T_{1/2}$ ¹⁰ (resp. Pre-regular⁶) if every g-closed (resp. gpr-closed) set is closed (resp. pre-closed).

Definition 2.5: Let (X, τ) be a topological^{7,8,11} space. Then

- (i) $\tau_g^* = \{V \subseteq X: gpr-cl(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V\}$.
- (ii) $\tau_r^* = \{V \subseteq X: cl_r^*(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V\}$.

- (iii) ${}_p\tau^* = \{V \subseteq X : pcl^*(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V\}.$
 (iv) $\tau_\eta = \{A \subseteq X : A \cap B \in GPRO(X, \tau) \text{ for all } B \in GPRO(X, \tau)\}.$

Remark 2.6: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Since $\text{closed} \Rightarrow \text{g-closed} \Rightarrow \text{rg-closed} \Rightarrow \text{gpr-closed}$, we have $A \subseteq gpr-cl(A) \subseteq cl_r^*(A) \subseteq c^*(A) \subseteq cl(A)$ for every subset A of X .

3. rg-closure and gpr-closure :

In this section, the operators namely rg-closure and gpr-closure are characterized. The next theorem is frequently used in this paper.

Theorem 3.1: In a topological space (X, τ) , the following hold:

- (i) $\{x\}$ is rg-open for every $x \in X$.
 (ii) $cl_r^*(A) = gpr-cl(A) = A$, for every subset A of X .

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Fix $x \in X$. Suppose $A \subseteq \{x\}$ and A is regular closed. In order to prove that $\{x\}$ is rg-open, it is enough to prove that $A \subseteq \text{int}(\{x\})$. This is true if $\{x\}$ is open. Suppose $\{x\}$ is not open. Then $\text{int}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$.

Since A is regular closed, $A = cl(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq cl(\text{int}(\{x\})) = \emptyset$ that implies $A = \emptyset$. This shows that $A = \emptyset \subseteq \text{int}(\{x\})$. Then by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $\{x\}$ is rg-open. This proves (i).

For any subset A of X , the inclusion $A \subseteq cl_r^*(A)$ is always true. To establish the

reverse inclusion we take $x \in cl_r^*(A)$. Suppose $x \notin A$. Then $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ and $x \notin X \setminus \{x\}$. By using (i), $\{x\}$ is rg-open. Since $X \setminus \{x\}$ is rg-closed and $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$, we have $cl_r^*(A) \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. This implies that $x \notin cl_r^*(A)$ contradicting $x \in cl_r^*(A)$.

This shows that $x \in A$. Therefore $A = cl_r^*(A)$. By applying this and using Remark 2.6, we get $gpr-cl(A) = A$. This establishes (ii).

Remark 3.2: For a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) , if $cl_r^*(A) = A$, then A need not be rg-closed and if $gpr-cl(A) = A$, then A need not be gpr-closed as shown in the next examples.

Example 3.3: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. Here $RGC(X) = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$. Now $cl_r^*(\{a\}) = \{a\}$, which is not rg-closed.

Gnanambal⁷ [Example 3.5] established that if $gpr-cl(A) = A$, then A need not be gpr-closed. However the following example also shows this.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. Here $GPRC(X) = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$. Now $gpr-cl(\{a\}) = \{a\}$, which is not gpr-closed.

Jin Han Park⁹ *et.al.* [Theorem 2.12] established the equivalence of the following statements in any topological space (X, τ) .

- (i) For each $x \in X$, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is rg-closed in X .

- (ii) If $\{x\}$ is regular closed in X , then $\{x\}$ is regular open in X .

However the above statements are always true in any topological space as shown in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.5. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the following hold:

- (i) For each $x \in X$, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is rg-closed in X .
(ii) If $\{x\}$ is regular closed in X , then $\{x\}$ is regular open in X .

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. (i) Follows from Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\{x\}$ is regular closed. By using Theorem 3.1, $\{x\}$ is rg-open in X . Since $\{x\}$ is rg-open, by Lemma 2.3, $\{x\} \subseteq \text{int}(\{x\})$ that implies $\{x\} = \text{int}(\{x\})$. Since $\{x\}$ is regular closed, $\{x\} = \text{int}(\{x\}) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\{x\}))$ that implies $\{x\}$ is regular open in X .

Gnanambal⁷ [Lemma 2.1] established that for every $x \in X$, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gpr-closed or regular open. However $X \setminus \{x\}$ is always gpr-closed as shown in the next corollary.

Corollary 3.6: For every $x \in X$, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gpr-closed. Consequently $\{x\}$ is gpr-open.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $x \in X$. By using Theorem 3.1, $\{x\}$ is rg-open. This implies $X \setminus \{x\}$ is rg-closed in X . Since every rg-closed set is gpr-closed, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gpr-closed. Since $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gpr-closed, $\{x\}$ is gpr-open.

Theorem 3.7: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then $\tau_r^* = \tau_g^* = \wp(X)$.

Proof: Follows from Definition 2.5 and Theorem 3.1.

The following definition is due to Chawalit Boonpok⁵

Definition 3.8: A topological space X is said to be rg-Hausdorff⁵ [Definition 8] if whenever x and y are distinct points of X there are disjoint rg-open sets U and V with $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Remark 3.9: Since $\{x\}$ is rg-open for every x in X , every topological space is rg-Hausdorff. Consequently the preservation theorems⁵ [Theorem 3, Theorem 4] of Chawalit Boonpok are obvious.

Remark 3.10: The proof for Theorem 3.2 of [9] follows easily from the fact $\text{cl}_r^*(A) = A$. Also Gnanambal⁷ [Theorem 3.14]

established that τ_g^* is a topology provided $GPRO(X, \tau)$ is a topology. But the condition “ $GPRO(X, \tau)$ is a topology” is not necessary because $\tau_g^* = \wp(X)$.

Lemma 3.11: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $\{A_\alpha\}$ be a collection of subsets of (X, τ) . Then

- (i) $\text{gpr-cl}(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha) = \bigcup_\alpha \text{gpr-cl}(A_\alpha)$.
(ii) $\text{cl}_r^*(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha) = \bigcup_\alpha \text{cl}_r^*(A_\alpha)$.
(iii) $\text{gpr-cl}(\bigcap_\alpha A_\alpha) = \bigcap_\alpha \text{gpr-cl}(A_\alpha)$.

$$(iv) \quad cl_r^* \left(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \right) = \bigcap_{\alpha} cl_r^* (A_{\alpha})$$

$$(v) \quad gpr-cl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus gpr-cl(A).$$

$$(vi) \quad cl_r^* (X \setminus A) = X \setminus cl_r^* (A).$$

Proof: Follows from the fact that, $gpr-cl(A)=A$ and $cl_r^*(A)=A$.

Remark 3.12: Anitha¹ et. al. [Lemma 4.5], proved that, $gpr-cl(A \cup B) = gpr-cl(A) \cup gpr-cl(B)$ if $gpr-cl(A)$ is gpr-closed and semi-closed and if $gpr-cl(B)$ is gpr-closed. But the conditions are not necessary as was shown in Lemma 3.11.

The following Theorem is an improved version of Theorem 3.15 of Gnanambal⁷.

Theorem 3.13: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the following hold:

- (i) (X, τ) is pre-regular $T_{1/2}$ if and only if $PO(X, \tau) = \wp(X)$.
- (ii) Every gpr-closed set is closed if and only if τ is discrete.
- (iii) If every gpr-closed set is gp-closed, then

$${}_p\tau^* = \wp(X).$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem⁷ 3.15 and Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.14: If $PO(X, \tau)$ is a topology, then $GPRO(X, \tau) = \tau_{\eta} = \tau_r^* = \tau_g^* = \wp(X)$.

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.28 of [7] and Theorem 3.7.

Proposition 3.15: (X, τ_r^*) is discrete.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 3.14.

Corollary⁹ 3.16: (Theorem 2.10).

(X, τ_r^*) is a $T_{1/2}$ space.

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.15.

Corollary⁸ 3.17: (Corollary 2.11).

$$(\tau_r^*)^* = (\tau_r^*)_r^* = \tau_r^*.$$

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.15.

Theorem 3.18: In a topological space, $gpr-int(A)=A$, for every $A \subseteq X$.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. By Lemma 3.27 of [7], $X \setminus gpr-int(A) = gpr-cl(X \setminus A)$. By Theorem 3.1, $gpr-cl(A)=A$ that implies $X \setminus gpr-int(A) = gpr-cl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ which further implies $gpr-int(A)=A$.

From the fact that $cl_r^*(A)=A$, Theorem 4.3 of [7] is obvious. The following is the simplified version of Theorem 4.5 of [7].

Theorem 3.19: For any map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the map $f: (X, \tau_g^*) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous.

Proof: Follows from the fact $\tau_g^* = \wp(X)$.

4. gpr-separation axioms :

Balasubramanian and Lakshmi Sarada^{3,4} introduced a variety of separation axioms, namely gpr- R_0 , gpr- R_1 , gpr- T_1 and gpr- T_2 . They are further investigated in this section.

Definition⁴ 4.1: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\text{gpr-}T_1$ (resp. $\text{gpr-}T_2$) [Definition 2.3] if for any two distinct points x and y of X , there exist (resp. disjoint) gpr- open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Definition³ 4.2: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\text{gpr-}R_0$ space if every gpr- open set contains the gpr- closure of each of its points.

Definition⁴ 4.3: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\text{gpr-}R_1$. [Definition 2.3] if and only if for any $x, y \in X$ with $\text{gpr-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{gpr-cl}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint gpr- open sets U and V such that $\text{gpr-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $\text{gpr-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$.

Definition 4.4: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A point x is said to be a gpr- limit (resp. $\text{gpr-}T_0$ limit) [Definition 3.01] point of A if each gpr- open set containing x contains some point y of A such that $x \neq y$ (resp. $\text{gpr-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{gpr-cl}(\{y\})$).

Proposition 4.5: Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the following hold.

(i) (X, τ) is $\text{gpr-}R_0$, (ii) (X, τ) is $\text{gpr-}R_1$, (iii) (X, τ) is $\text{gpr-}T_1$ and (iv) (X, τ) is $\text{gpr-}T_2$.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 3.1(ii), Definition 4.1, Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.3.

Since $\text{gpr-cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $\text{gpr-cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\}$, it follows that x is a $\text{gpr-}T_0$ -limit point of A if and only if x is a gpr- limit point of A where $x, y \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$. It has been observed that $\text{gpr-}T_0$ identification space introduced by

to Balasubramanian et. al.⁴, is nothing but discrete. More precisely, the equivalence relation given in Definition 5.01 of Balasubramanian et. al. is nothing but equality relation on a topological space (X, τ) . According to Balasubramanian et. al.⁴, $x \Re y$ if and only if $\text{gpr-cl}(\{x\}) = \text{gpr-cl}(\{y\})$ that is if and only if $x = y$ for every $x, y \in X$. Consequently $X_0 = X$ and $Q(X_0) = \emptyset(X)$. This establishes that $(X_0, Q(X_0))$ is discrete¹⁰.

The following Definition is due to Balasubramanian et. al.⁴.

Definition 4.7: A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be almost- gpr- irresolute³ [Definition 7.2] if for each x in X and each gpr- neighborhood V of $f(x)$, $\text{gpr-cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ is a gpr- neighborhood of x .

Remark 4.8: Since $\text{gpr-cl}(f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V)$, the gpr- irresolute function of Gnanambal⁶ and almost gpr- irresolute function of Balasubramanian et. al.⁴ represent the same concept.

5. Conclusion

In this paper the following results are established.

- (i) Every singleton is rg- open and hence every singleton is gpr- open.
- (ii) Every topological space is rg- Hausdorff.
- (iii) Every topological space is $\text{gpr-}R_i$ for $i=0,1$.
- (iv) Every topological space is $\text{gpr-}T_i$ for $i=1,2$.

References

1. M. Anitha and P. Thangavelu, A note on

- gpr-closed sets in topology, *Journal of Indian Acad. Math.* 28(2), 475-481 (2006).
2. I. Arockiarani and K. Balachandran, On regular generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 37, 305-314 (1997).
 3. S. Balasubramanian and M. Lakshmi Sarada, GPR-separation axioms, *Bull. Kerala Math. Association*, 8(3), 157-173 (2011).
 4. S. Balasubramanian and M. Lakshmi Sarada, GPR-separation axioms-I, *IJMA*, 2(10), 2055-2067 (2011).
 5. Chawalit Boonpok, Preservation theorems concerning g-Hausdorff and rg-Hausdorff spaces, *Naresuan University Journal* 11(3), 75-77 (2003).
 6. Y. Gnanambal, On generalized pre regular closed sets in topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 28(3), 351-360 (1997).
 7. Y. Gnanambal and K. Balachandran, On gpr-continuous functions in topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 30(6), 581-593 (1999).
 8. Jin Han Park, Jin Keun Park and Seong Jun Park, Further results on rg-continuity, *Far East J. Math.Soc. special volume part 11*, 237-245 (2000).
 9. Jin Han Park, Jin Keun Park and Seong Jun Park, On preserving rg-closed sets, *East Asian Math. J.* 16(1), 125-133 (2000).
 10. N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat.Palermo* 9(2), 89-96 (1970).
 11. Maki, J. Umehara, T.Noiri, Every topological space is pre- $T_{1/2}$, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi, Univ. (Math)* 17, 33-42 (1996).
 12. A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, On Precontinuous and Weak precontinuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt* 53, 47-53 (1982).
 13. N. Palaniappan and K.C. Rao, Regular generalized closed sets, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 33, 211-219 (1993).
 14. M. H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to the general topology, *Trans. A.M.S.* 41, 375-481 (1937).