

Common fixed point theorem for four mappings in fuzzy metric space

RAJESH KUMAR MISHRA^{*}, SANJAY CHOUDHARY^{**}
and RAJESH SHRIVASTAVA

Deptt. of Mathematics, BERI, Bhopal^{*} (INDIA)

Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. P.G. N. M. V. Hoshangabad (MP)^{**} (INDIA)

Deptt. of Mathematics Govt Science and Commerce College
Benazir, Bhopal (M.P.) (INDIA)

(Acceptance Date 29th November, 2011)

Abstract

In the Present paper, we prove common fixed point theorem for four mappings using new condition in fuzzy metric spaces.

Mathematics subject classification: 47H10, 54H25

Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy set was first introduced by L. A. Zadeh²⁰ and developed a basic frame work to treat mathematically the fuzzy phenomena or systems which due to intrinsic indefiniteness, cannot themselves be characterized precisely. Fuzzy metric spaces have been introduced by Kramosil and Michalek⁷ and George and Veeramani³ modified the notion of fuzzy metric with help of continuous t-norms. Recently many have proved fixed point theorems involving fuzzy sets^{1,2,4-6,8-10,14,16-19}. Balasubramaniam *et al.* and S. Muralishankar, R.P. Pant¹ proved the open problem of Rhoades¹⁵ on the existence of a contractive definition which generalizes a fixed point but does not force the mapping to be continuous at the fixed point possesses an affirmative answer¹¹⁻¹³.

Definition 1: The 3-tuple $(X, S, *)$ is said to be a S-Fuzzy Metric Space if X is an arbitrary Set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and S is a Fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) $S(x, y, t) > 0$
- (ii) $S(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x=y$
- (iii) $S(x, y, t) = S(y, x, t)$
- (iv) $S(x, y, t) * S(y, z, s) \leq S(x, z, t+s)$
- (v) $S(x, y, .); (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$

Definition 2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Fuzzy Metric Space $(X, S, *)$ is a Cauchy Sequence if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$

Definition 3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a

Fuzzy Metric Space $(X, S, *)$ is converges to x if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$S(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Definition 4: Fuzzy Metric Space $(X, S, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy Sequence in $(X, S, *)$ is a convergent sequence.

Definition 5: Two mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space $(X, S, *)$ in to itself are said to be weakly commuting if $S(fgx, gfx, t) \geq S(fx, gx, t)$ for each x in X .

Definition 6: The mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space $(X, S, *)$ in to itself are said to be R -weakly commuting, provided there exists some positive real numbers R such that

$$S(fgx, gfx, t) \geq S(fx, gx, t/R) \text{ for each } x \text{ in } X.$$

Definition 7: The mappings F and G of a fuzzy metric space $(X, S, *)$ in to itself are said to be compatible iff $S(FGx_n, GFx_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ For all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Fx_n, Gx_n \rightarrow y$ for some y in X .

Definition 8: Let A and B be self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, S, *)$, we will call A and B to be reciprocally continuous if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_n = Ap$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = Bp$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = p$ for some p in X

If A and B are continuous then they are obviously reciprocally continuous. But the converse need not be true.

Theorem 1: Let A, B, M and N be self maps of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, S, *)$ with continuous t – norm $*$ defined by $a*b = \min \{a, b\}$, $a, b \in [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $A(x) \subset N(x)$, $B(x) \subset M(x)$
- (ii) $[A, M]$, $[B, N]$ are pointwise R -weakly commuting pairs of maps.
- (iii) $[A, M]$ or $[B, N]$ is compatible pair of reciprocally continuous maps.
- (iv) For all x, y in X , $k \in [0, 1]$ $t > 0$
 $S^2(Ax, By, kt) \geq \max \{S^2(Mx, Ny, t), S^2(Ax, Mx, t), S^2(By, Ny, t), S(By, Mx, 2t)/2, S(Ax, Ny, 2t)/2\}$
- (v) For all x, y in X , $\lim S(x, y, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
 Then A, B, M and N have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that

$$y_{2n-1} = Nx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2} \text{ and } y_{2n} = Mx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1} \quad n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Now using (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= S^2(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \max \{S^2(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}, t), S^2(Ax_{2n}, Mx_{2n}, t), \\ &\quad S^2(Bx_{2n+1}, Nx_{2n+1}, t), S(Bx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n}, 2t)/2, \\ &\quad S(Ax_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}, 2t)/2\} \\ &\geq \max \{S^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), S^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ &\quad S^2(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), S(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, 2t)/2, \\ &\quad S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, 2t)/2\} \\ &\geq \max \{S^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), S^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, 2t)/2, S(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, 2t)/2\} \\ &\Rightarrow S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq S(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \quad \dots (1.1) \end{aligned}$$

Further using (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &= S^2(Bx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, kt) \\ &= S^2(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}, kt) \\ &\geq \max \{S^2(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}, t), S^2(Ax_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Mx_{2n}, t), S^2(Bx_{2n-1}, Nx_{2n-1}, t), \\
& S(Bx_{2n-1}, Mx_{2n}, 2t)/2, S(Ax_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}, 2t)/2\} \\
& \geq \max\{S^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t), S^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), S^2(y_{2n}, \\
& y_{2n-1}, t), S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, 2t)/2, \\
& S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}, 2t)/2\} \\
& \Rightarrow S(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \quad \dots (1.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k) & \geq S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, (1-k)t/k^2) \\
& \geq S(y_{n-2}, y_{n-3}, (1-k)t/k^3) \\
& \dots \\
& \dots \\
& \dots \\
& \geq S(y_0, y_1, (1-k)t/k^n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $t > 0$, $k, \lambda \in (0, 1)$ we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k) \geq 1 - \lambda \quad n \geq n_0 \quad \dots (1.3)$$

To prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence, we claim (3.1.4) is true for all $n \geq n_0$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$S(y_n, y_{n+m}, t) \geq 1 - \lambda \quad \dots \dots (1.4)$$

From (1.1) (1.2) and (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) & \geq S(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/k) \\
& \geq S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k) \\
& \geq 1 - \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

Thus result (1.4) is true for $m = 1$. Further Suppose (1.4) is true for m .

Then we shall show that it is also true for $m+1$.

Using (1.1) (1.2) and definition for t – norm we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(y_n, y_{n+m+1}, t) & \geq S(y_{n-1}, y_{n+m}, t/k), \\
& \geq \min\{S(y_n, y_{n-1}, (1-k)t/k), S(y_n, y_{n+m}, t)\} \\
& \geq 1 - \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (1.4) is true for $m+1$ and so it is true for

every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence²¹.

Since $(X, S, *)$ is complete so $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point z in X . Thus $\{Ax_{2n}\}$ $\{Mx_{2n}\}$ $\{Bx_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{Nx_{2n-1}\}$ also converges to z . Suppose $[A, M]$ is a compatible pair of reciprocally continuous maps. Then by the definition of reciprocally continuous maps $AMx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$

and $MAx_{2n} \rightarrow Mz$ And then the compatibility of A and M yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(AMx_{2n}, MAx_{2n}, t) = 1$

$$\text{i.e. } S(Az, Mz, t) = 1$$

Hence $Az = Mz$ Since $A(x) \subset N(x)$, There exists a point w in X such that $Az = Nw$ Using (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2(Az, Bw, kt) & \geq \max\{S^2(Mz, Nw, t), S^2(Az, \\
& Mz, t), S^2(Bw, Nw, t), S(Bw, Mz, 2t)/2, \\
& S(Az, Nw, 2t)/2\} \\
& \geq \max\{S^2(Az, Az, t), 1, S^2(Bw, Az, t), S(Bw, \\
& Az, 2t)/2, S(Az, Az, 2t)/2\}
\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$S^2(Az, Bw, kt) \geq 1$$

Which implies that $Az = Bw$

Thus $Mz = Az = Nw = Bw$.

Point-wise R-weakly commutativity of A and M implies that there exists $R > 0$ such that $S(AMz, MAz, t) \geq S(Az, Mz, t/R) = 1$

i.e. $AMz = MAz$

and $AAz = AMz = MAz = MMz$

Similarly pointwise R-weakly commutativity of B and N implies that

$$BBw = BNw = NBw = NNw$$

Now by (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2(AAz, Az, kt) & = S^2(AAz, Bw, kt) \\
& \geq \max\{S^2(MAz, Nw, t), S^2(AAz, MAz, t), \\
& S^2(Bw, Nw, t), S(Bw, MAz, 2t)/2, S(AAz, \\
& Nw, 2t)/2\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \max \{S^2(AAz, Az, t), 1, S^2(Az, Az, t), \\ S(Az, AAz, 2t)/2, S(AAz, Az, 2t)/2\}$$

Or

$$S^2(AAz, Az, kt) \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow AAz = Az$$

Thus

$$Az = AAz = MAz$$

Thus Az is a common fixed point of A and M

Again by (iv) we have

$$S^2(Az, BBw, kt) \geq \max \{S^2(Mz, NBw, t), S^2(Az, \\ Mz, t), S^2(BBw, NBw, t), S(BBw, Mz, 2t)/2, \\ S(Az, NBw, 2t)/2\} \\ \geq \max \{S^2(Az, BBw, t), 1, S(BBw, Az, 2t)/2, \\ S(Az, BBw, 2t)/2\}$$

$$\text{Or } S^2(Az, BBw, kt) \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = BBw$$

Thus

$$Az = BBw = Bw$$

Thus Bw(=Az) is a common fixed point of B and N and hence Az is a common fixed point of A, B, M and N.

To prove Uniqueness, let Az_1 be another common fixed point of A, B, M and N. Then we have

$$S^2(Az, Az_1, kt) = S^2(AAz, BAAz_1, kt) \\ \geq \max \{S^2(MAz, NAAz_1, t), S^2(AAz, MAz, t), \\ S^2(BAAz_1, NAAz_1, t), \\ S(BAAz_1, MAz, 2t)/2, S(AAz, NAAz_1, 2t)/2\} \\ \geq \max \{S^2(Az, Az_1, t), S^2(Az, Az, t), S^2(Az_1, \\ Az_1, t), (Az_1, Az, 2t)/2, S(Az, Az_1, 2t)/2\} \\ \geq \max \{S^2(Az, Az_1, t), 1, 1, S(Az_1, Az, 2t)/2, \\ S(Az, Az_1, 2t)/2\}$$

$$\text{or } S^2(Az, Az_1, kt) \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = Az_1$$

Thus Az is a unique common fixed point of A, B, M and N.

References

1. P. Balasubramaniam, S. Muralisankar, R.P. Pant, "Common fixed' points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space". *J. Fuzzy Math.* 10(2), 379-384 (2002).
2. Y.J. Cho, U.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang, J.S. Jung, "Common fixed points of compatible maps of type (0) on fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 93, 99-111 (1998).
3. A. George, P. Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64, 395-399 (1994).
4. M. Grabiec, "Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*" 27, 385-389 (1988).
5. O. Hadzic, "Common fixed point theorems for families of mapping in complete metric space," *Math. Japon.* 29, 127-134 (1984).
6. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 285-288 (1988).
7. O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces," *Kybernetika* 11, 326-334 (1975).
8. S. Kutukcu, "A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces," *Int. Math. F.* 1(32), 1543-1554 (2006).
9. S. Kutukcu, C. Yildiz, "A. Tuna, On common fixed points in Menger probabilistic metric spaces," *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* 2(8), 383-391 (2007).
10. S. Kutukcu, "A fixed point theorem for contraction type mappings in Menger spaces," *Am. J. Appl. Sci.* 4(6), 371-373

- (2007).
11. S.N. Mishra, "Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces," *Math. Japon.* 36, 283-289 (1991).
 12. R.P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings," *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 90, 281-286 (1998).
 13. R.P. Pant, "Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 226, 251-258 (1998).
 14. R.P. Pant, K. Jha, "A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space," *Fuzzy Math.* 12(2), 433-437 (2004).
 15. B. E. Rhoades, "Contractive definitions and continuity," *Contemporary Math.* 72, 233-245 (1988).
 16. S. Sharma, "Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 127, 345-352 (2002).
 17. S. Sharma, B. Deshpande, "Discontinuity and weak compatibility in fixed point consideration on noncomplete fuzzy metric spaces," *J. Fuzzy Math.* 11(2), 671-686. j (2003).
 18. S. Sharma, B. Deshpande, "Compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation," *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 30, 585-540 (2006).
 19. R. Vasuki, "Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces," *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 30, 419-423 (1999).
 20. L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets, *Inform and Control*" 8, 338-353 (1965).
 21. S. Kutukcu, sharsma, S, H Tokgoz "A fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces" *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis* Vol. 1, 861-872 (2007).