



(Print)

Section A

(Online)



Estd. 1989

JOURNAL OF ULTRA SCIENTIST OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES

An International Open Free Access Peer Reviewed Research Journal of Mathematics

website:- www.ultrascientist.org

Indexed Absolute Riesz Summability Using General Class of Power Increasing Sequence

D. ACHARYA¹, S.SAHU^{2*}, P.C.NAYAK³ and U.K.MISRA⁴

¹National Institute of Science and Technology, Ganjam, Odisha, (India)

²National Institute of Science and Technology, Ganjam, Odisha, India

³Bhadrak(Autonomous) College, Bhadrak, Odisha, India

⁴National Institute of Science and Technology, Ganjam, Odisha, India

Email Address of Corresponding Author: E-mail: dpak.acharya888@gmail.com,

* Correspondence author E-mail: subrataviit@gmail.com

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22147/jusps-A/290906>

Acceptance Date 13th August, 2017,

Online Publication Date 2nd September, 2017

Abstract

Extending the result of Bor(2016) and subsequently Majhi et al, a new result concerning absolute indexed Riesz Summability factors, using quasi power increasing sequence, has been established.

Key words : Riesz mean, Summability factors, Quasi-increasing; Quasi - f - power increasing; indexed absolute summability.

Subject Classification 26D45, 40A05, 40D15, 40F05

1. Introduction

A sequence (a_n) of positive numbers is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive sequence (b_n) and two positive constants A and B such that

$$(1.1) \quad Ab_n \leq a_n \leq Bb_n, \text{ for all } n \in N.$$

For $0 < \beta < 1$, it is said to be quasi- β -power increasing, if there exists a constant K depending upon β with $K \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad K n^\beta a_n \geq m^\beta a_m, \text{ for all } n \geq m.$$

In particular if $\beta = 0$, then (a_n) is a quasi-increasing sequence. It is clear that for any non-negative β , every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- β -power increasing sequence. But the converse is not true in general, as $(n^{-\beta})$ is quasi- β -power increasing but not almost increasing.

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a positive sequence of numbers. Then the positive sequence (a_n) is said to be quasi- f -power increasing, if there exists a constant K depending upon f with $K \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad K f_n a_n \geq f_m a_m$$

for $n \geq m \geq 1$. Clearly, if (a_n) is a quasi- f -power increasing sequence, then $(a_n f_n)$ is also a quasi-increasing sequence.

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with sequence of partial sums (s_n) and let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The sequence to sequence transform

$$(1.4) \quad t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v, \quad P_n \neq 0,$$

defines the sequence $\{t_n\}$ of the (\overline{N}, p_n) -mean of the sequence (s_n) generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see³).

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$ [1], if

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

Let $\{\theta_n\}$ be any sequence of positive constants. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n|_k, k \geq 1$ ⁸, if

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n)^{k-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu|_k, k \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$, if

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n)^{\mu k + k - 1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

If we take $\mu = 0$, then $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu \right|_k$ - summability reduces to $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n \right|_k$ - summability.

If we take $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ and $\mu = 0$ then $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu \right|_k$ - summability reduces to $\left| \overline{N}, p_n \right|_k$ - summability.

For any real γ , the series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu, \gamma \right|_k, k \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$, if

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n)^{\gamma(\mu k + k - 1)} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty .$$

For $\gamma = 1$, $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu, \gamma \right|_k$ - summability reduces to $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu \right|_k$ - summability.

2. *Known Theorems :*

Dealing with $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n \right|_k$ - summability factors using a new general class of power increasing sequences Bor² proved the following result.

2.1. *Theorem - A*

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a sequence where $f_n = n^\sigma (\log n)^\eta$, $\eta \geq 0, 0 < \sigma < 1$. Let $(\lambda_n) \in BV$ and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power increasing sequence. Suppose also that there exists sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$(2.1.1) \quad |\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n,$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty,$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad |\lambda_n| X_n = O(1)$$

and $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence such that

$$(2.1.5) \quad P_n = O(np_n)$$

$$(2.1.6) \quad P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1})$$

$$(2.1.7) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n \theta_v^{k-1} v^{-k} |s_v|^k = O(X_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

are satisfied and $\frac{\theta_n p_n}{P_n}$ is a non-increasing sequence, then the series $\sum a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ is summable

$$\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n \right|_k, k \geq 1.$$

Subsequently, extending theorem –A, Majhi et al.,⁵ has established the following theorem:

2.2. Theorem –B

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a sequence where $f_n = n^\sigma (\log n)^\eta$, $\eta \geq 0$, $0 < \sigma < 1$. Let $(\lambda_n) \in BV$ and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power increasing sequence. Suppose that there exists sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$(2.2.1) \quad |\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n,$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty,$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad |\lambda_n| X_n = O(1)$$

Further let (p_n) be a sequence such that

$$(2.2.5) \quad P_n = O(np_n)$$

$$(2.2.6) \quad P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1})$$

$$(2.2.7) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n \theta_v^{\mu_k+k-1} v^{-k} |s_v|^k = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

are satisfied and $\frac{\theta_n P_n}{P_n}$ be a non-increasing sequence. Then the series $\sum a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ is summable

$$\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu \right|_k, k \geq 1, \mu > 0.$$

3. Main Result

In what follows in this paper, we proved a result concerning absolute indexed Riesz Summability $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu, \rho \right|_k$, $k \geq 1, \mu > 0, \rho \geq 0$ of a factored series using f -power increasing sequence. We prove:

Theorem 3.1

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a sequence, where $f_n = n^\sigma (\log n)^\eta$, $\eta \geq 0$, $0 < \sigma < 1$. Let $(\lambda_n) \in BV$ and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power increasing sequence. Suppose that there exists sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$(3.1.1) \quad |\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n,$$

$$(3.1.2) \quad \beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3.1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m n|\Delta\beta_n|X_n < \infty,$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad |\lambda_n|X_n = O(1)$$

Further let (p_n) be a sequence such that

$$(3.1.5) \quad P_n = O(np_n)$$

$$(3.1.6) \quad P_n\Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1})$$

$$(3.1.7) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n \theta_v^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} v^{-k} |s_v|^k = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

are satisfied and $\frac{\theta_n P_n}{P_n}$ be a non-increasing sequence. hen the series $\sum a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ is summable

$$|\bar{N}, p_n, \theta_n, \mu, \rho|_k, k \geq 1, \mu > 0, \rho > 0$$

In order to prove the theorem we require the following lemmas.

4.1. Lemma⁷

Under the conditions on (X_n) , (β_n) and (λ_n) as prescribed in the statement of the theorem

$$(4.1.1) \quad nX_n\beta_n = O(1)$$

and

$$(4.1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty.$$

4.2. Lemma⁶

If the conditions (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) are satisfied then

$$(4.2.1) \quad \Delta\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

5. Proof of the Theorem

Let (T_n) be the sequence of (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$. Then by the definition, we have

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n p_v \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{a_r P_r \lambda_r}{r p_r} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) \frac{a_v P_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}$$

Hence for $n \geq 1$

$$T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_{v-1} P_v a_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}$$

Using Abel's transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} s_v \Delta \left(\frac{P_{v-1} P_v \lambda_v}{v p_v} \right) + \frac{\lambda_n s_n}{n} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_n s_n}{n} + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} s_v \frac{P_{v+1} P_v \Delta \lambda_v}{(v+1) p_{v+1}} + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v \lambda_v \Delta \left(\frac{P_v}{v p_v} \right) - \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v \lambda_v \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) \\ &= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4} \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the theorem, using Minkowski's inequality it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} |T_{n,1}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} n^{-k} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |s_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} |\lambda_n| n^{-k} |s_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{v=1}^n (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} v^{-k} |s_v|^k + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{n=1}^m (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} n^{-k} |s_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m, \text{ by (3.1.7)} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_n X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m, \text{ by (3.1.1)} \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by lemma 4.1 and (3.1.4)} \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} |T_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v \Delta \lambda_v \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k + k - 1)} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} |s_v| |p_v| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^k |s_v|^k p_v (\beta_v)^k \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1}, \text{ by (3.1.1)} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^k |s_v|^k p_v (\beta_v)^k (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^k |s_v|^k (\beta_v)^k \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right) (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v\beta_v)^{k-1} (v\beta_v) \frac{1}{v^k} (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} |s_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v\beta_v) v^{-k} (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} |s_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta(v\beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^v (\theta_r)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} r^{-k} |s_r|^k + O(1)(m\beta_m) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} v^{-k} |s_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta(v\beta_v)| X_v + O(1)(m\beta_m) X_m, \text{ by (3.1.7)} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta\beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1)(m\beta_m) X_m \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} |T_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v |s_v| \frac{|\lambda_v|}{v} \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^k v^{-k} p_v |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^k |s_v|^k p_v (v)^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}}\right) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} (v)^{-k} (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |s_v|^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} (v)^{-k} |s_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} |T_{n,4}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v \frac{\lambda_v}{v} \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v P_v \frac{\lambda_v}{v P_v} \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (\theta_n)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v} \right)^k |s_v|^k v^{-k} P_v |\lambda_v|^k \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k v^{-k} |s_v|^k P_v |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{P_v} (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} \left(\frac{P_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^{\rho(\mu k+k-1)} v^{-k} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m
\end{aligned}$$

as $= O(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

6. Conclusion

Our Theorem generalizes Theorem-A and Theorem-B. Putting $\rho = 1$, Theorem-B becomes a particular case of our Theorem. Putting $\mu = 0$ and $\rho = 1$, Theorem-A becomes a particular result of our Theorem. One can extend our result for Indexed *Nörlund Summability* with different parameters.

References

1. Bor, H., two summability methods, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 97, 147-149 (1985).

2. Bor, H., On quasi-power increasing sequences and their some applications, *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, Vol-10(2), 95-100 (2016).
3. Hardy, G. H., *Divergent Series*, First edition, Clarendon press, Oxford University. (1949).
4. Leindler, L., A new application of quasi power increasing sequences, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 58, 791-796 (2001).
5. Majhi, B., Padhy, B., Samanta, P., Misra, M. and Misra, U.K., A new theorem on indexed absolute Riesz summability using power increasing sequence, communicated to *Bulletin of Pure and Applied sciences*.
6. Mishra, K. N. and Srivastava, R. S. L., On summability factors of infinite series, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 15, 651-656 (1984).
7. Sulaiman, W. T., Extension on absolute summability factors of infinite series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322, 1224-1230 (2006).
8. Sulaiman, W. T., On some summability factors of infinite series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 115, 313-317 (1992).